arXiv:1601.07678v1 [cs.IT] 28 Jan 2016

Extremal Relations Between Shannon Entropy and ℓ_α-Norm

Yuta Sakai and Ken-ichi Iwata
Department of Information Science, University of Fukui,
3-9-1 Bunkyo, Fukui, Fukui, 910-8507, Japan,
E-mail: {ji140117,k-iwata}@u-fukui.ac.jp

Abstract

The paper examines relationships between the Shannon entropy and the ℓ_α-norm for n-ary probability vectors, $n \geq 2$. More precisely, we investigate the tight bounds of the ℓ_α-norm with a fixed Shannon entropy, and vice versa. As applications of the results, we derive the tight bounds between the Shannon entropy and several information measures which are determined by the ℓ_α-norm. Moreover, we apply these results to uniformly focusing channels. Then, we show the tight bounds of Gallager's E_0 functions with a fixed mutual information under a uniform input distribution.

I. Introduction

Information measures of random variables are used in several fields. The Shannon entropy [1] is one of the famous measures of uncertainty for a given random variable. On the studies of information measures, inequalities for information measures are commonly used in many applications. As an instance, Fano's inequality [2] gives the tight upper bound of the conditional Shannon entropy with a fixed error probability. Then, note that the *tight* means the existence of the distribution which attains the equality of the bound. Later, the reverse of Fano's inequality, i.e., the tight lower bound of the conditional Shannon entropy with a fixed error probability, are established [3]–[5]. On the other hand, Harremoës and Topsøe [8] derived the exact range between the Shannon entropy and the index of coincidence (or the Simpson index) for all n-ary probability vectors, $n \geq 3$. In the above studies, note that the error probability and the index of coincidence are closely related to ℓ_∞-norm and ℓ_2-norm, respectively. Similarly, several axiomatic definitions of the entropies [9]–[14] are also related to the ℓ_α-norm. Furthermore, the ℓ_α-norm are also related to some diversity indices, such as the index of coincidence.

In this study, we examine extremal relations between the Shannon entropy and the ℓ_α-norm for n-ary probability vectors, $n \geq 2$. More precisely, we establish the tight bounds of ℓ_α-norm with a fixed Shannon entropy in Theorem 1. Similarly, we also derive the tight bounds of the Shannon entropy with a fixed ℓ_α-norm in Theorem 2. Directly extending Theorem 1 to Corollary 1, we can obtain the tight bounds of several information measures which are determined by the ℓ_α-norm with a fixed Shannon entropy, as shown in Table I. In particular, we illustrate the exact feasible regions between the Shannon entropy and the Rényi entropy in Fig. 2 by using (295) and (296). In Section III-B, we consider applications of Corollary 1 for a particular class of discrete memoryless channels, defined in Definition 2, which is called *uniformly focusing* [15] or *uniform from the output* [16].

II. PRELIMINARIES

A. n-ary probability vectors and its information measures

Let the set of all n-ary probability vectors be denoted by

$$\mathcal{P}_n \triangleq \left\{ (p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n \;\middle|\; p_j \geq 0 \text{ and } \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i = 1 \right\} \tag{1}$$

for an integer $n \geq 2$. For $\boldsymbol{p} = (p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_n) \in \mathcal{P}_n$, let

$$p_{[1]} \geq p_{[2]} \geq \cdots \geq p_{[n]} \tag{2}$$

denote the components of $\boldsymbol{p}$ in decreasing order, and let

$$\boldsymbol{p}_{\downarrow} \triangleq (p_{[1]}, p_{[2]}, \ldots, p_{[n]}) \tag{3}$$

denote the decreasing rearrangement[1] of $\boldsymbol{p}$. In particular, we define the following two n-ary probability vectors: (i) an n-ary deterministic distribution

$$\boldsymbol{d}_n \triangleq (d_1, d_2, \ldots, d_n) \in \mathcal{P}_n \tag{4}$$

is defined by $d_1 = 1$ and $d_i = 0$ for $i \in \{2, 3, \ldots, n\}$ and (ii) the n-ary equiprobable distribution

$$\boldsymbol{u}_n \triangleq (u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_n) \in \mathcal{P}_n \tag{5}$$

is defined by $u_i = \frac{1}{n}$ for $i \in \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$.

For an n-ary random variable $X \sim \boldsymbol{p} \in \mathcal{P}_n$, we define the Shannon entropy [1] as

$$H(X) = H(\boldsymbol{p}) \triangleq -\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i \ln p_i, \tag{6}$$

where $\ln$ denotes the natural logarithm and assume that $0 \ln 0 = 0$. Moreover, we define the ℓ_α-norm of $\boldsymbol{p} \in \mathcal{P}_n$ as

$$\|\boldsymbol{p}\|_\alpha \triangleq \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i^\alpha \right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \tag{7}$$

for $\alpha \in (0, \infty)$. Note that $\lim_{\alpha \to \infty} \|\boldsymbol{p}\|_\alpha = \|\boldsymbol{p}\|_\infty \triangleq \max\{p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_n\}$ for $\boldsymbol{p} \in \mathcal{P}_n$. On the works of extending Shannon entropy, the ℓ_α-norm is appear in the several information measures. As an instance, Rényi [9] generalized the Shannon entropy axiomatically to the Rényi entropy of order $\alpha \in (0, 1) \cup (1, \infty)$, defined as

$$H_\alpha(X) = H_\alpha(\boldsymbol{p}) \triangleq \frac{\alpha}{1 - \alpha} \ln \|\boldsymbol{p}\|_\alpha \tag{8}$$

for $X \sim \boldsymbol{p} \in \mathcal{P}_n$. Note that it is usually defined that $H_1(X) \triangleq H(X)$ since $\lim_{\alpha \to 1} H_\alpha(X) = H(X)$ by L'Hôpital's rule. In other axiomatic definitions of entropies [10]–[14], we can also define them by using the ℓ_α-norm, as with (8).

[1]This rearrangement is denoted by reference to the notation of [7].

In this study, we analyze relations between $H(\boldsymbol{p})$ and $\|\boldsymbol{p}\|_\alpha$ to examine relationships between the Shannon entropy and several information measures. Note that $H(\boldsymbol{p})$ and $\|\boldsymbol{p}\|_\alpha$ are invariant for any permutation of the indices of $\boldsymbol{p} \in \mathcal{P}_n$; that is,

$$H(\boldsymbol{p}) = H(\boldsymbol{p}_\downarrow) \qquad \text{and} \qquad \|\boldsymbol{p}\|_\alpha = \|\boldsymbol{p}_\downarrow\|_\alpha \tag{9}$$

for any $\boldsymbol{p} \in \mathcal{P}_n$. Hence, we only consider $\boldsymbol{p}_\downarrow$ for $\boldsymbol{p} \in \mathcal{P}_n$ in the analyses of the study. Since $\|\boldsymbol{p}\|_1 = 1$ for any $\boldsymbol{p} \in \mathcal{P}_n$, we have no interest in the case $\alpha = 1$; hence, we omit the case $\alpha = 1$ in this study. Furthermore, since

$$H(\boldsymbol{p}) = \ln n \iff \|\boldsymbol{p}\|_\alpha = n^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-1} \iff \boldsymbol{p} = \boldsymbol{u}_n, \tag{10}$$

$$H(\boldsymbol{p}) = 0 \iff \|\boldsymbol{p}\|_\alpha = 1 \iff \boldsymbol{p}_\downarrow = \boldsymbol{d}_n, \tag{11}$$

the cases $\boldsymbol{p} = \boldsymbol{u}_n$ and $\boldsymbol{p}_\downarrow = \boldsymbol{d}_n$ are trivial; thus, we also omit these cases in the analyses of this study.

B. Properties of two distributions $\boldsymbol{v}_n(\cdot)$ and $\boldsymbol{w}_n(\cdot)$

For a fixed $n \geq 2$, let the n-ary distribution $\boldsymbol{v}_n(p) \triangleq (v_1(p), v_2(p), \dots v_n(p)) \in \mathcal{P}_n$ be defined by

$$v_i(p) = \begin{cases} 1-(n-1)p & \text{if } i = 1, \\ p & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \tag{12}$$

for $p \in [0, \frac{1}{n-1}]$, and let the n-ary distribution[2] $\boldsymbol{w}_n(p) \triangleq (w_1(p), w_2(p), \dots, w_n(p)) \in \mathcal{P}_n$ be defined by

$$w_i(p) = \begin{cases} p & \text{if } 1 \leq i \leq \lfloor p^{-1} \rfloor, \\ 1 - \lfloor p^{-1} \rfloor p & \text{if } i = \lfloor p^{-1} \rfloor + 1, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \tag{13}$$

for $p \in [\frac{1}{n}, 1]$, where $\lfloor \cdot \rfloor$ denotes the floor function. Note that $\boldsymbol{v}_n(p)_\downarrow = \boldsymbol{w}_n(p)$ for $p \in [\frac{1}{n}, \frac{1}{n-1}]$. In this subsection, we examine the properties of the Shannon entropies and the ℓ_α-norms for $\boldsymbol{v}_n(\cdot)$ and $\boldsymbol{w}_n(\cdot)$. For simplicity, we define

$$H_{\boldsymbol{v}_n}(p) \triangleq H(\boldsymbol{v}_n(p)) \tag{14}$$

$$= -(1-(n-1)p)\ln(1-(n-1)p) - (n-1)p \ln p, \tag{15}$$

$$H_{\boldsymbol{w}_n}(p) \triangleq H(\boldsymbol{w}_n(p)) \tag{16}$$

$$= -\lfloor p^{-1} \rfloor p \ln p - (1 - \lfloor p^{-1} \rfloor p)\ln(1 - \lfloor p^{-1} \rfloor p). \tag{17}$$

Then, we first show the monotonicity of $H_{\boldsymbol{v}_n}(p)$ with respect to $p \in [0, \frac{1}{n}]$ in the following lemma.

Lemma 1. *$H_{\boldsymbol{v}_n}(p)$ is strictly increasing for $p \in [0, \frac{1}{n}]$.*

[2]The definition of $\boldsymbol{w}_n(\cdot)$ is similar to the definition of [6, Eq. (26)].

Proof of Lemma 1: It is easy to see that

$$H_{\boldsymbol{v}_n}(p) = -\sum_{i=1}^{n} v_i(p)\ln v_i(p) \tag{18}$$

$$= -v_1(p)\ln v_1(p) - \sum_{i=2}^{n} v_i(p)\ln v_i(p) \tag{19}$$

$$= -(1-(n-1)p)\ln(1-(n-1)p) - \sum_{i=2}^{n} v_i(p)\ln v_i(p) \tag{20}$$

$$= -(1-(n-1)p)\ln(1-(n-1)p) - (n-1)p\ln p. \tag{21}$$

Then, the first-order derivative of $H_{\boldsymbol{v}_n}(p)$ with respect to p is

$$\frac{\partial H_{\boldsymbol{v}_n}(p)}{\partial p} = \frac{\partial}{\partial p}\Big(-(n-1)p\ln p - (1-(n-1)p)\ln(1-(n-1)p)\Big) \tag{22}$$

$$= -(n-1)\left(\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}p}(p\ln p)\right) - \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial p}((1-(n-1)p)\ln(1-(n-1)p))\right) \tag{23}$$

$$= -(n-1)\Big(\ln p + 1\Big) + (n-1)\Big(\ln(1-(n-1)p) + 1\Big) \tag{24}$$

$$= (n-1)\Big(\ln(1-(n-1)p) - \ln p\Big) \tag{25}$$

$$= (n-1)\ln\frac{1-(n-1)p}{p}. \tag{26}$$

Since $1-(n-1)p > p > 0$ for $p \in (0, \frac{1}{n})$, it follows from (26) that

$$\frac{\partial H_{\boldsymbol{v}_n}(p)}{\partial p} > 0 \tag{27}$$

for $p \in (0, \frac{1}{n})$. Note that $H_{\boldsymbol{v}_n}(p)$ is continuous for $p \in [0, \frac{1}{n}]$ since $\lim_{p\to\frac{1}{n}} H_{\boldsymbol{v}_n}(p) = H_{\boldsymbol{v}_n}(\frac{1}{n}) = \ln n$ and $\lim_{p\to 0^+} H_{\boldsymbol{v}_n}(p) = H_{\boldsymbol{v}_n}(0) = 0$ by the assumption $0\ln 0 = 0$. Therefore, $H_{\boldsymbol{v}_n}(p)$ is strictly increasing for $p \in [0, \frac{1}{n}]$. ■

Lemma 1 implies the existence of the inverse function of $H_{\boldsymbol{v}_n}(p)$ for $p \in [0, \frac{1}{n}]$. We second show the monotonicity of $H_{\boldsymbol{w}_n}(p)$ with respect to $p \in [\frac{1}{n}, 1]$ as follows:

Lemma 2. *$H_{\boldsymbol{w}_n}(p)$ is strictly decreasing for $p \in [\frac{1}{n}, 1]$.*

Proof of Lemma 2: For an integer $m \in [2, n]$, assume that $p \in [\frac{1}{m}, \frac{1}{m-1}]$. Then, note that $\lfloor p^{-1} \rfloor = m$. It is easy to see that

$$H_{\boldsymbol{w}_n}(p) = -\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_i(p)\ln w_i(p) \tag{28}$$

$$= -\sum_{i=1}^{m} w_i(p)\ln w_i(p) - w_{m+1}(p)\ln w_{m+1}(p) - \sum_{j=m+2}^{n} w_j(p)\ln w_j(p) \tag{29}$$

$$\overset{\text{(a)}}{=} -\sum_{i=1}^{m} w_i(p)\ln w_i(p) - w_{m+1}(p)\ln w_{m+1}(p) \tag{30}$$

$$= -m\,p\ln p - w_{m+1}(p)\ln w_{m+1}(p) \tag{31}$$

$$= -m\,p\ln p - (1-m\,p)\ln(1-m\,p), \tag{32}$$

where (a) follows by the assumption $0 \ln 0 = 0$. Then, the first-order derivative of $H_{\boldsymbol{w}_n}(p)$ with respect to p is

$$\frac{\partial H_{\boldsymbol{w}_n}(p)}{\partial p} = \frac{\partial}{\partial p}\Big(- m\,p \ln p - (1 - m\,p)\ln(1 - m\,p)\Big) \tag{33}$$

$$= -m\left(\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}p}(p \ln p)\right) - \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial p}((1 - m\,p)\ln(1 - m\,p))\right) \tag{34}$$

$$= -m\Big(\ln p + 1\Big) + m\Big(\ln(1 - m\,p) + 1\Big) \tag{35}$$

$$= m\Big(\ln(1 - m\,p) - \ln p\Big) \tag{36}$$

$$= m \ln \frac{1 - m\,p}{p}. \tag{37}$$

Since $p > 1 - m\,p > 0$ for $p \in (\frac{1}{m}, \frac{1}{m-1})$, it follows from (37) that

$$\frac{\partial H_{\boldsymbol{w}_n}(p)}{\partial p} < 0 \tag{38}$$

for $p \in (\frac{1}{m}, \frac{1}{m-1})$. On the other hand, we observe that

$$\lim_{p \to (\frac{1}{m})^-} H_{\boldsymbol{w}_n}(p) = \lim_{p \to (\frac{1}{m})^-} \Big(- \lfloor p^{-1} \rfloor p \ln p - (1 - \lfloor p^{-1} \rfloor p) \ln(1 - \lfloor p^{-1} \rfloor p)\Big) \tag{39}$$

$$= \lim_{p \to (\frac{1}{m})^-} \Big(- m\,p \ln p - (1 - m\,p)\ln(1 - m\,p)\Big) \tag{40}$$

$$= \ln m - \lim_{p \to (\frac{1}{m})^-} \Big((1 - m\,p)\ln(1 - m\,p)\Big) \tag{41}$$

$$= \ln m - \lim_{x \to 0^+} \Big(x \ln x\Big) \tag{42}$$

$$= \ln m \tag{43}$$

for an integer $m \in [1, n-1]$ and

$$\lim_{p \to (\frac{1}{m})^+} H_{\boldsymbol{w}_n}(p) = \lim_{p \to (\frac{1}{m})^+} \Big(- \lfloor p^{-1} \rfloor p \ln p - (1 - \lfloor p^{-1} \rfloor p) \ln(1 - \lfloor p^{-1} \rfloor p)\Big) \tag{44}$$

$$= \lim_{p \to (\frac{1}{m})^+} \Big(- (m-1)p \ln p - (1 - (m-1)p)\ln(1 - (m-1)p)\Big) \tag{45}$$

$$= \left(1 - \frac{1}{m}\right) \ln m - \lim_{p \to (\frac{1}{m})^+} \Big((1 - (m-1)p)\ln(1 - (m-1)p)\Big) \tag{46}$$

$$= \left(1 - \frac{1}{m}\right) \ln m - \left(-\frac{1}{m} \ln m\right) \tag{47}$$

$$= \ln m \tag{48}$$

for an integer $m \in [2, n]$. Note that $H_{\boldsymbol{w}_n}(\frac{1}{m}) = \ln m$ from (43) and the assumption $0 \ln 0 = 0$. Hence, for any integer $m \in [2, n-1]$, we get that

$$\lim_{p \to (\frac{1}{n})^+} H_{\boldsymbol{w}_n}(p) = H_{\boldsymbol{w}_n}(\tfrac{1}{n}) = \ln n \tag{49}$$

$$\lim_{p \to \frac{1}{m}} H_{\boldsymbol{w}_n}(p) = H_{\boldsymbol{w}_n}(\tfrac{1}{m}) = \ln m, \tag{50}$$

$$\lim_{p \to 1^-} H_{\boldsymbol{w}_n}(p) = H_{\boldsymbol{w}_n}(1) = 0, \tag{51}$$

which imply that $H_{\boldsymbol{w}_n}(p)$ is continuous for $p \in [\frac{1}{n}, 1]$. Therefore, $H_{\boldsymbol{w}_n}(p)$ is strictly decreasing for $p \in [\frac{1}{n}, 1]$. ■

As with Lemma 1, Lemma 2 also implies the existence of the inverse function of $H_{\boldsymbol{w}_n}(p)$ for $p \in [\frac{1}{n}, 1]$. Since $H_{\boldsymbol{v}_n}(0) = 0$, $H_{\boldsymbol{v}_n}(\frac{1}{n}) = \ln n$, $H_{\boldsymbol{w}_n}(\frac{1}{n}) = \ln n$, and $H_{\boldsymbol{w}_n}(1) = 0$, we can denote the inverse functions of $H_{\boldsymbol{v}_n}(p)$ and $H_{\boldsymbol{w}_n}(p)$ with respect to p as follows: We denote by $H^{-1}_{\boldsymbol{v}_n} : [0, \ln n] \to [0, \frac{1}{n}]$ the inverse function of $H_{\boldsymbol{v}_n}(p)$ for $p \in [0, \frac{1}{n}]$. Moreover, we also denote by $H^{-1}_{\boldsymbol{w}_n} : [0, \ln n] \to [\frac{1}{n}, 1]$ the inverse function of $H_{\boldsymbol{w}_n}(p)$ for $p \in [\frac{1}{n}, 1]$.

Now, we provide the monotonicity of $\|\boldsymbol{v}_n(p)\|_\alpha$ with respect to $H_{\boldsymbol{v}_n}(p)$ in the following lemma.

Lemma 3. *For any fixed $n \geq 2$ and any fixed $\alpha \in (-\infty, 0) \cup (0, 1) \cup (1, \infty)$, if $p \in [0, \frac{1}{n}]$, the following monotonicity hold:*

(i) *if $\alpha > 1$, then $\|\boldsymbol{v}_n(p)\|_\alpha$ is strictly decreasing for $H_{\boldsymbol{v}_n}(p) \in [0, \ln n]$ and*

(ii) *if $\alpha < 1$, then $\|\boldsymbol{v}_n(p)\|_\alpha$ is strictly increasing for $H_{\boldsymbol{v}_n}(p) \in [0, \ln n]$.*

Proof of Lemma 3: The proof of Lemma 3 is given in a similar manner with [20, Appendix I]. By the chain rule of the derivation and the inverse function theorem, we have

$$\frac{\partial \|\boldsymbol{v}_n(p)\|_\alpha}{\partial H_{\boldsymbol{v}_n}(p)} = \left(\frac{\partial \|\boldsymbol{v}_n(p)\|_\alpha}{\partial p}\right) \cdot \left(\frac{\partial p}{\partial H_{\boldsymbol{v}_n}(p)}\right) \tag{52}$$

$$= \left(\frac{\partial \|\boldsymbol{v}_n(p)\|_\alpha}{\partial p}\right) \cdot \left(\frac{1}{\frac{\partial H(\boldsymbol{v}_n(p))}{\partial p}}\right). \tag{53}$$

Direct calculation shows

$$\frac{\partial \|\boldsymbol{v}_n(p)\|_\alpha}{\partial p} = \frac{\partial}{\partial p}\left((n-1)\,p^\alpha + (1-(n-1)p)^\alpha\right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \tag{54}$$

$$= \frac{1}{\alpha}\left((n-1)\,p^\alpha + (1-(n-1)p)^\alpha\right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-1}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial p}\left((n-1)\,p^\alpha + (1-(n-1)p)^\alpha\right)\right) \tag{55}$$

$$= \frac{1}{\alpha}\left((n-1)\,p^\alpha + (1-(n-1)p)^\alpha\right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-1}\left(\alpha(n-1)\left(p^{\alpha-1} - (1-(n-1)p)^{\alpha-1}\right)\right) \tag{56}$$

$$= (n-1)\left((n-1)\,p^\alpha + (1-(n-1)p)^\alpha\right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-1}\left(p^{\alpha-1} - (1-(n-1)p)^{\alpha-1}\right). \tag{57}$$

Substituting (26) and (57) into (53), we obtain

$$\frac{\partial \|\boldsymbol{v}_n(p)\|_\alpha}{\partial H_{\boldsymbol{v}_n}(p)}$$

$$= (n-1)\left((n-1)\,p^\alpha + (1-(n-1)p)^\alpha\right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-1}\left(p^{\alpha-1} - (1-(n-1)p)^{\alpha-1}\right)\left(\frac{1}{(n-1)\ln\frac{1-(n-1)p}{p}}\right) \tag{58}$$

$$= \left((n-1)\,p^\alpha + (1-(n-1)p)^\alpha\right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-1}\left(p^{\alpha-1} - (1-(n-1)p)^{\alpha-1}\right)\frac{1}{\ln\frac{1-(n-1)p}{p}}. \tag{59}$$

We now define the sign function as

$$\mathrm{sgn}(x) \triangleq \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x > 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } x = 0, \\ -1 & \text{if } x < 0. \end{cases} \tag{60}$$

Since $0 < p < 1-(n-1)p$ for $p \in (0, \frac{1}{n})$, we observe that

$$\operatorname{sgn}\left(\left((n-1)\,p^{\alpha} + (1-(n-1)p)^{\alpha}\right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-1}\right) = 1, \tag{61}$$

$$\operatorname{sgn}\Big(p^{\alpha-1} - (1-(n-1)p)^{\alpha-1}\Big) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \alpha < 1, \\ 0 & \text{if } \alpha = 1, \\ -1 & \text{if } \alpha > 1, \end{cases} \tag{62}$$

$$\operatorname{sgn}\left(\frac{1}{\ln \frac{1-(n-1)p}{p}}\right) = 1 \tag{63}$$

for $p \in (0, \frac{1}{n})$ and $\alpha \in (-\infty, 0) \cup (0, +\infty)$; and therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\operatorname{sgn}\left(\frac{\partial \|\boldsymbol{v}_n(p)\|_{\alpha}}{\partial H_{\boldsymbol{v}_n}(p)}\right) \\ &\overset{(59)}{=} \operatorname{sgn}\left(\left((n-1)\,p^{\alpha} + (1-(n-1)p)^{\alpha}\right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-1}\left(p^{\alpha-1} - (1-(n-1)p)^{\alpha-1}\right)\frac{1}{\ln \frac{1-(n-1)p}{p}}\right) && (64) \\ &= \operatorname{sgn}\left(\left((n-1)\,p^{\alpha} + (1-(n-1)p)^{\alpha}\right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-1}\right) \cdot \operatorname{sgn}\Big(p^{\alpha-1} - (1-(n-1)p)^{\alpha-1}\Big) \cdot \operatorname{sgn}\left(\frac{1}{\ln \frac{1-(n-1)p}{p}}\right) && (65) \\ &= \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \alpha < 1, \\ 0 & \text{if } \alpha = 1, \\ -1 & \text{if } \alpha > 1, \end{cases} && (66) \end{aligned}$$

for $p \in (0, \frac{1}{n})$ and $\alpha \in (-\infty, 0) \cup (0, +\infty)$, which implies Lemma 3. ■

It follows from Lemmas 1 and 3 that, for each $\alpha \in (0,1) \cup (1, \infty)$, $\|\boldsymbol{v}_n(p)\|_{\alpha}$ is bijective for $p \in [0, \frac{1}{n}]$. Similarly, we also show the monotonicity of $\|\boldsymbol{w}_n(p)\|_{\alpha}$ with respect to $H_{\boldsymbol{w}_n}(p)$ in the following lemma.

Lemma 4. *For any fixed $n \geq 2$ and any fixed $\alpha \in (0,1) \cup (1, \infty)$, if $p \in [\frac{1}{n}, 1]$, the following monotonicity hold:*

(i) *if $\alpha > 1$, then $\|\boldsymbol{w}_n(p)\|_{\alpha}$ is strictly decreasing for $H_{\boldsymbol{w}_n}(p) \in [0, \ln n]$ and*

(ii) *if $\alpha < 1$, then $\|\boldsymbol{w}_n(p)\|_{\alpha}$ is strictly increasing for $H_{\boldsymbol{w}_n}(p) \in [0, \ln n]$.*

Proof of Lemma 4: Since $\boldsymbol{w}_n(p) = \boldsymbol{v}_n(p)_{\downarrow}$ for $p \in [\frac{1}{n}, \frac{1}{n-1}]$, we can obtain immediately from (59) that

$$\frac{\partial \|\boldsymbol{w}_n(p)\|_{\alpha}}{\partial H_{\boldsymbol{w}_n}(p)} = \left((n-1)\,p^{\alpha} + (1-(n-1)p)^{\alpha}\right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-1}\left(p^{\alpha-1} - (1-(n-1)p)^{\alpha-1}\right)\frac{1}{\ln \frac{1-(n-1)p}{p}} \tag{67}$$

for $p \in (\frac{1}{n}, \frac{1}{n-1})$. Since $0 < 1-(n-1)p < p$ for $p \in (\frac{1}{n}, \frac{1}{n-1})$, we observe that

$$\operatorname{sgn}\left(\left((n-1)\,p^{\alpha} + (1-(n-1)p)^{\alpha}\right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-1}\right) = 1, \tag{68}$$

$$\operatorname{sgn}\Big(p^{\alpha-1} - (1-(n-1)p)^{\alpha-1}\Big) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \alpha > 1, \\ 0 & \text{if } \alpha = 1, \\ -1 & \text{if } \alpha < 1, \end{cases} \tag{69}$$

$$\operatorname{sgn}\left(\frac{1}{\ln\frac{1-(n-1)p}{p}}\right) = -1 \tag{70}$$

for $p \in (\frac{1}{n}, \frac{1}{n-1})$ and $\alpha \in (-\infty, 0) \cup (0, +\infty)$; and therefore, we have

$$\operatorname{sgn}\left(\frac{\partial \|\boldsymbol{w}_n(p)\|_\alpha}{\partial H_{\boldsymbol{w}_n}(p)}\right) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \alpha < 1, \\ 0 & \text{if } \alpha = 1, \\ -1 & \text{if } \alpha > 1, \end{cases} \tag{71}$$

for $p \in (\frac{1}{n}, \frac{1}{n-1})$ and $\alpha \in (-\infty, 0) \cup (0, +\infty)$, as with (66). Hence, for $\alpha \in (-\infty, 0) \cup (0, +\infty)$, we have that

- if $\alpha > 1$, then $\|\boldsymbol{w}_n(p)\|_\alpha$ is strictly decreasing for $H_{\boldsymbol{w}_n}(p) \in [\ln(n-1), \ln n]$ and
- if $\alpha < 1$, then $\|\boldsymbol{w}_n(p)\|_\alpha$ is strictly increasing for $H_{\boldsymbol{w}_n}(p) \in [\ln(n-1), \ln n]$.

Finally, since $H_{\boldsymbol{w}_m}(p) = H_{\boldsymbol{w}_n}(p)$ and $\|\boldsymbol{w}_m(p)\|_\alpha = \|\boldsymbol{w}_n(p)\|_\alpha$ for any integer $m \in [2, n-1]$, any $p \in [\frac{1}{m}, \frac{1}{m-1}]$, and any $\alpha \in (0, 1) \cup (1, +\infty)$, we can obtain that

- if $\alpha > 1$, then $\|\boldsymbol{w}_n(p)\|_\alpha$ is strictly decreasing for $H_{\boldsymbol{w}_n}(p) \in [\ln(m-1), \ln m]$ and
- if $\alpha < 1$, then $\|\boldsymbol{w}_n(p)\|_\alpha$ is strictly increasing for $H_{\boldsymbol{w}_n}(p) \in [\ln(m-1), \ln m]$

for any integer $m \in [2, n]$ and any $\alpha \in (0, 1) \cup (1, \infty)$. This completes the proof of Lemma 4. ■

It also follows from Lemmas 2 and 4 that, for each $\alpha \in (0, 1) \cup (1, \infty)$, $\|\boldsymbol{w}_n(p)\|_\alpha$ is also bijective for $p \in [\frac{1}{n}, 1]$.

III. Results

In Section III-A, we examine the extremal relations between the Shannon entropy and the ℓ_α-norm, as shown in Theorems 1 and 2. Then, we can identify the exact feasible region of

$$\mathcal{R}_n(\alpha) \triangleq \{(H(\boldsymbol{p}), \|\boldsymbol{p}\|_\alpha) \mid \boldsymbol{p} \in \mathcal{P}_n\} \tag{72}$$

for any $n \geq 2$ and any $\alpha \in (0, 1) \cup (1, \infty)$. Extending Theorems 1 and 2 to Corollary 1, we can obtain the tight bounds between the Shannon entropy and several information measures which are determined by the ℓ_α-norm, as shown in Table I. In Section III-B, we apply the results of Section III-A to uniformly focusing channels of Definition 2.

A. Bounds on Shannon entropy and ℓ_α-norm

Let the α-logarithm function [19] be denoted by

$$\ln_\alpha x \triangleq \frac{x^{1-\alpha} - 1}{1-\alpha} \tag{73}$$

for $\alpha \neq 1$ and $x > 0$; besides, since $\lim_{\alpha \to 1} \ln_\alpha x = \ln x$ by L'Hôpital's rule, it is defined that $\ln_1 x \triangleq \ln x$. For the α-logarithm function, we can see the following lemma.

Lemma 5. *For $\alpha < \beta$ and $1 \leq x \leq y$ $(y \neq 1)$, we observe that*

$$\frac{\ln_\alpha x}{\ln_\alpha y} \leq \frac{\ln_\beta x}{\ln_\beta y} \tag{74}$$

with equality if and only if $x \in \{1, y\}$.

Proof of Lemma 5: For $1 \leq x \leq y$ $(y \neq 1)$, we consider the monotonicity of $\frac{\ln_\alpha x}{\ln_\alpha y}$ with respect to α. Direct calculation shows

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha}\left(\frac{\ln_\alpha x}{\ln_\alpha y}\right) = \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha}\left(\frac{x^{1-\alpha}-1}{y^{1-\alpha}-1}\right) \tag{75}$$

$$= \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha}(x^{1-\alpha}-1)\right)\left(\frac{1}{y^{1-\alpha}-1}\right) + (x^{1-\alpha}-1)\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha}\left(\frac{1}{y^{1-\alpha}-1}\right)\right) \tag{76}$$

$$= -\frac{x^{1-\alpha}\ln x}{y^{1-\alpha}-1} + (x^{1-\alpha}-1)\left(-\frac{1}{(y^{1-\alpha}-1)^2}\right)\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha}(y^{1-\alpha}-1)\right) \tag{77}$$

$$= -\frac{x^{1-\alpha}\ln x}{y^{1-\alpha}-1} + \frac{y^{1-\alpha}(\ln y)(x^{1-\alpha}-1)}{(y^{1-\alpha}-1)^2} \tag{78}$$

$$= -\frac{x^{1-\alpha}(\ln x)(y^{1-\alpha}-1) - y^{1-\alpha}(\ln y)(x^{1-\alpha}-1)}{(y^{1-\alpha}-1)^2} \tag{79}$$

$$= -\frac{1}{(y^{1-\alpha}-1)^2}\left(x^{1-\alpha}(\ln x)(y^{1-\alpha}-1) - y^{1-\alpha}(\ln y)(x^{1-\alpha}-1)\right). \tag{80}$$

Then, we can see that

$$\operatorname{sgn}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha}\left(\frac{\ln_\alpha x}{\ln_\alpha y}\right)\right) \overset{(80)}{=} \operatorname{sgn}\left(-\frac{1}{(y^{1-\alpha}-1)^2}\left(x^{1-\alpha}(\ln x)(y^{1-\alpha}-1) - y^{1-\alpha}(\ln y)(x^{1-\alpha}-1)\right)\right) \tag{81}$$

$$= \operatorname{sgn}\left(-\frac{1}{(y^{1-\alpha}-1)^2}\right) \cdot \operatorname{sgn}\left(x^{1-\alpha}(\ln x)(y^{1-\alpha}-1) - y^{1-\alpha}(\ln y)(x^{1-\alpha}-1)\right) \tag{82}$$

$$\overset{(a)}{=} -\operatorname{sgn}\left(x^{1-\alpha}(\ln x)(y^{1-\alpha}-1) - y^{1-\alpha}(\ln y)(x^{1-\alpha}-1)\right) \tag{83}$$

$$\overset{(b)}{=} -\operatorname{sgn}\left((\ln x)\frac{y^{1-\alpha}-1}{y^{1-\alpha}} - (\ln y)\frac{x^{1-\alpha}-1}{x^{1-\alpha}}\right) \tag{84}$$

$$= \operatorname{sgn}\left((y^{\alpha-1}-1)\ln x - (x^{\alpha-1}-1)\ln y\right) \tag{85}$$

$$= \operatorname{sgn}\left(\frac{(y^{\alpha-1}-1)\ln x^{\alpha-1} - (x^{\alpha-1}-1)\ln y^{\alpha-1}}{\alpha-1}\right) \tag{86}$$

$$= \operatorname{sgn}\left(\frac{1}{\alpha-1}\right) \cdot \operatorname{sgn}\left((y^{\alpha-1}-1)\ln x^{\alpha-1} - (x^{\alpha-1}-1)\ln y^{\alpha-1}\right) \tag{87}$$

$$\overset{(c)}{=} \operatorname{sgn}\left(\frac{1}{\alpha-1}\right) \cdot \operatorname{sgn}\left((b-1)\ln a - (a-1)\ln b\right) \tag{88}$$

where

- the equality (a) follows from the fact that

$$\operatorname{sgn}\left(-\frac{1}{(y^{1-\alpha}-1)^2}\right) = -1 \tag{89}$$

  for $y > 0$ $(y \neq 1)$ and $\alpha \in (-\infty, 1) \cup (1, +\infty)$,
- the equality (b) follows from the fact that $x^{1-\alpha}, y^{1-\alpha} > 0$ for $\alpha \in (-\infty, +\infty)$ and $x, y > 0$, and
- the equality (c) follows by the change of variables: $a = a(x, \alpha) \triangleq x^{\alpha-1}$ and $b = b(y, \alpha) \triangleq y^{\alpha-1}$.

Then, it can be easily seen that

$$\operatorname{sgn}\left(\frac{1}{\alpha-1}\right) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \alpha > 1, \\ -1 & \text{if } \alpha < 1. \end{cases} \tag{90}$$

Thus, to check the sign of $\frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha}\left(\frac{\ln_\alpha x}{\ln_\alpha y}\right)$, we now examine the function $(b-1)\ln a-(a-1)\ln b$. We readily see that

$$\Big((b-1)\ln a-(a-1)\ln b\Big)\Big|_{a=1}=\Big((b-1)\ln a-(a-1)\ln b\Big)\Big|_{a=b}=0 \tag{91}$$

for $b>0$. We calculate the second order derivative of $(b-1)\ln a-(a-1)\ln b$ with respect to a as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial a^2}\Big((b-1)\ln a-(a-1)\ln b\Big)&=\frac{\partial}{\partial a}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial a}\Big((b-1)\ln a-(a-1)\ln b\Big)\right) &(92)\\ &=\frac{\partial}{\partial a}\left((b-1)\left(\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}a}(\ln a)\right)-\left(\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}a}(a-1)\right)\ln b\right) &(93)\\ &=\frac{\partial}{\partial a}\left(\frac{b-1}{a}-\ln b\right) &(94)\\ &=(b-1)\left(\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}a}\left(\frac{1}{a}\right)\right) &(95)\\ &=-\frac{b-1}{a^2}. &(96)\end{aligned}$$

Hence, we observe that

$$\begin{aligned}\operatorname{sgn}\left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial a^2}\Big((b-1)\ln a-(a-1)\ln b\Big)\right)&=\operatorname{sgn}\left(-\frac{b-1}{a^2}\right) &(97)\\ &=\begin{cases}1 & \text{if } 0<b<1,\\ 0 & \text{if } b=1,\\ -1 & \text{if } b>1\end{cases} &(98)\end{aligned}$$

for $a>0$, which implies that

- if $b>1$, then $(b-1)\ln a-(a-1)\ln b$ is strictly concave in $a>0$ and
- if $0<b<1$, then $(b-1)\ln a-(a-1)\ln b$ is strictly convex in $a>0$.

Therefore, it follows from (91) that

- it $b>1$, then

$$\operatorname{sgn}\Big((b-1)\ln a-(a-1)\ln b\Big)=\begin{cases}1 & \text{if } 1<a<b,\\ 0 & \text{if } a=1 \text{ or } a=b,\\ -1 & \text{if } 0<a<1 \text{ or } a>b\end{cases} \tag{99}$$

  and

- it $0<b<1$, then

$$\operatorname{sgn}\Big((b-1)\ln a-(a-1)\ln b\Big)=\begin{cases}1 & \text{if } 0<a<b \text{ or } a>1,\\ 0 & \text{if } a=b \text{ or } a=1,\\ -1 & \text{if } b<a<1.\end{cases} \tag{100}$$

Since $a=x^{\alpha-1}$ and $b=y^{\alpha-1}$, note that

- if $\alpha>1$, then $1\le a\le b$ $(b\neq 1)$ for $1\le x\le y$ $(y\neq 1)$ and
- if $\alpha<1$, then $0<b\le a\le 1$ $(b\neq 1)$ for $1\le x\le y$ $(y\neq 1)$.

Hence, we obtain

$$\operatorname{sgn}\Big((y^{1-\alpha}-1)\ln x^{1-\alpha}-(x^{1-\alpha}-1)\ln y^{1-\alpha}\Big)=\begin{cases}1 & \text{if } 1<x<y \text{ and } \alpha>1,\\ 0 & \text{if } x=1 \text{ or } x=y \text{ or } \alpha=1,\\ -1 & \text{if } 1<x<y \text{ and } \alpha<1\end{cases} \tag{101}$$

for $\alpha\in(-\infty,+\infty)$ and $1\le x\le y$ $(y\neq 1)$. Concluding the above analyses, we have

$$\operatorname{sgn}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial\alpha}\left(\frac{\ln_\alpha x}{\ln_\alpha y}\right)\right)\overset{(87)}{=}\operatorname{sgn}\left(\frac{1}{1-\alpha}\right)\cdot\operatorname{sgn}\Big((y^{1-\alpha}-1)\ln x^{1-\alpha}-(x^{1-\alpha}-1)\ln y^{1-\alpha}\Big) \tag{102}$$

$$=\begin{cases}1 & \text{if } 1<x<y,\\ 0 & \text{if } x=1 \text{ or } x=y\end{cases} \tag{103}$$

for $\alpha\in(-\infty,1)\cup(1,\infty)$, where the last equality follows from (90) and (101). Note that

$$\lim_{\alpha\to 1}\left(\frac{\ln_\alpha x}{\ln_\alpha y}\right)=\frac{\ln_1 x}{\ln_1 y}=\frac{\ln x}{\ln y} \tag{104}$$

for $x,y>0$ $(y\neq 1)$, which implies that $\frac{\ln_\alpha x}{\ln_\alpha y}$ is continuous at $\alpha=1$. Therefore, we have that, if $1<x<y$, then $\frac{\ln_\alpha x}{\ln_\alpha y}$ is strictly increasing for $\alpha\in(-\infty,+\infty)$, which implies Lemma 5. ■

The following two lemmas have important roles in the proving Theorem 1.

Lemma 6. *For any $n\ge 2$ and any $\boldsymbol{p}\in\mathcal{P}_n$, there exists $p\in[0,\frac{1}{n}]$ such that $H_{\boldsymbol{v}_n}(p)=H(\boldsymbol{p})$ and $\|\boldsymbol{v}_n(p)\|_\alpha\ge\|\boldsymbol{p}\|_\alpha$ for all $\alpha\in(0,\infty)$.*

Proof of Lemma 6: If $n=2$, then it can be easily seen that $\boldsymbol{p}_\downarrow=\boldsymbol{v}_2(p)$ for any $\boldsymbol{p}\in\mathcal{P}_2$ and some $p\in[0,\frac{1}{2}]$; therefore, the lemma obviously holds when $n=2$. Moreover, since

$$H(\boldsymbol{p})=\ln n \qquad\Longleftrightarrow\qquad \boldsymbol{p}=\boldsymbol{u}_n=\boldsymbol{v}_n(\tfrac{1}{n}), \tag{105}$$

$$H(\boldsymbol{p})=0 \qquad\Longleftrightarrow\qquad \boldsymbol{p}_\downarrow=\boldsymbol{d}_n=\boldsymbol{v}_n(0), \tag{106}$$

the lemma obviously holds if $H(\boldsymbol{p})\in\{0,\ln n\}$. Thus, we omit the cases $n=2$ and $H(\boldsymbol{p})\in\{0,\ln n\}$ in the analyses and consider $\boldsymbol{p}\in P_n$ for $H(\boldsymbol{p})\in(0,\ln n)$. For a fixed $n\ge 3$ and a constant $A\in(0,\ln n)$, we assume for $\boldsymbol{p}\in P_n$ that

$$H(\boldsymbol{p})=A. \tag{107}$$

For that $\boldsymbol{p}$, let $k\in\{2,3,\dots,n-1\}$ be the index such that $p_{[k-1]}>p_{[k+1]}=p_{[n]}$; namely, the index k is chosen to satisfy the following inequalities:

$$p_{[1]}\ge p_{[2]}\ge\cdots\ge p_{[k-1]}\ge p_{[k]}\ge p_{[k+1]}=p_{[k+2]}=\cdots=p_{[n]}\qquad(p_{[k-1]}>p_{[k+1]}). \tag{108}$$

Since $p_1 + p_2 + \cdots + p_n = 1$, we observe that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i = 1 \tag{109}$$

$$\Longrightarrow \quad \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}p_{[k]}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i \right) = \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}p_{[k]}} (1) \tag{110}$$

$$\Longleftrightarrow \quad \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}p_{[k]}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{[i]} \right) = 0 \tag{111}$$

$$\Longleftrightarrow \quad \frac{\mathrm{d}p_{[k]}}{\mathrm{d}p_{[k]}} + \sum_{i=1:i\neq k}^{n} \frac{\mathrm{d}p_{[i]}}{\mathrm{d}p_{[k]}} = 0 \tag{112}$$

$$\Longleftrightarrow \quad 1 + \sum_{i=1:i\neq k}^{n} \frac{\mathrm{d}p_{[i]}}{\mathrm{d}p_{[k]}} = 0 \tag{113}$$

$$\Longleftrightarrow \quad \sum_{i=1:i\neq k}^{n} \frac{\mathrm{d}p_{[i]}}{\mathrm{d}p_{[k]}} = -1. \tag{114}$$

In this proof, we further assume that

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}p_{[i]}}{\mathrm{d}p_{[k]}} = 0 \tag{115}$$

for $i \in \{2, 3, \ldots, k-1\}$ and

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}p_{[j]}}{\mathrm{d}p_{[k]}} = \frac{\mathrm{d}p_{[n]}}{\mathrm{d}p_{[k]}} \tag{116}$$

for $j \in \{k+1, k+2, \ldots, n-1\}$. By constraints (115) and (116), we get

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i = 1 \tag{117}$$

$$\overset{(114)}{\Longrightarrow} \quad \sum_{i=1:i\neq k}^{n} \frac{\mathrm{d}p_{[i]}}{\mathrm{d}p_{[k]}} = -1 \tag{118}$$

$$\Longleftrightarrow \quad \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \frac{\mathrm{d}p_{[i]}}{\mathrm{d}p_{[k]}} + \sum_{j=k+1}^{n} \frac{\mathrm{d}p_{[j]}}{\mathrm{d}p_{[k]}} = -1 \tag{119}$$

$$\overset{(115)}{\Longleftrightarrow} \quad \frac{\mathrm{d}p_{[1]}}{\mathrm{d}p_{[k]}} + \sum_{j=k+1}^{n} \frac{\mathrm{d}p_{[j]}}{\mathrm{d}p_{[k]}} = -1 \tag{120}$$

$$\overset{(116)}{\Longleftrightarrow} \quad \frac{\mathrm{d}p_{[1]}}{\mathrm{d}p_{[k]}} + (n-k)\frac{\mathrm{d}p_{[n]}}{\mathrm{d}p_{[k]}} = -1 \tag{121}$$

$$\Longleftrightarrow \quad \frac{\mathrm{d}p_{[1]}}{\mathrm{d}p_{[k]}} = -1 - (n-k)\frac{\mathrm{d}p_{[n]}}{\mathrm{d}p_{[k]}}. \tag{122}$$

Moreover, since $H(\boldsymbol{p}) = A$, we observe that

$$-\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i \ln p_i = A \tag{123}$$

$$\Longrightarrow \quad \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}p_{[k]}} \left(-\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i \ln p_i \right) = \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}p_{[k]}} (A) \tag{124}$$

$$\Longleftrightarrow \quad \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}p_{[k]}} \left(-\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{[i]} \ln p_{[i]} \right) = 0 \tag{125}$$

$$\iff \quad -\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}p_{[k]}}(p_{[i]} \ln p_{[i]}) = 0 \tag{126}$$

$$\iff \quad -\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}p_{[k]}}(p_{[k]} \ln p_{[k]}) - \sum_{i=1:i\neq k}^{n} \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}p_{[k]}}(p_{[i]} \ln p_{[i]}) = 0 \tag{127}$$

$$\iff \quad -(\ln p_{[k]} + 1) - \sum_{i=1:i\neq k}^{n} \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}p_{[k]}}(p_{[i]} \ln p_{[i]}) = 0 \tag{128}$$

$$\iff \quad -\sum_{i=1:i\neq k}^{n} \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}p_{[k]}}(p_{[i]} \ln p_{[i]}) = \ln p_{[k]} + 1 \tag{129}$$

$$\overset{\text{(a)}}{\iff} \quad -\sum_{i=1:i\neq k}^{n} \left(\frac{\mathrm{d}p_{[i]}}{\mathrm{d}p_{[k]}}\right) \left(\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}p_{[i]}}(p_{[i]} \ln p_{[i]})\right) = \ln p_{[k]} + 1 \tag{130}$$

$$\iff \quad -\sum_{i=1:i\neq k}^{n} \left(\frac{\mathrm{d}p_{[i]}}{\mathrm{d}p_{[k]}}\right) (\ln p_{[i]} + 1) = \ln p_{[k]} + 1 \tag{131}$$

$$\iff \quad -\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \left(\frac{\mathrm{d}p_{[i]}}{\mathrm{d}p_{[k]}}\right) (\ln p_{[i]} + 1) - \sum_{j=k+1}^{n} \left(\frac{\mathrm{d}p_{[j]}}{\mathrm{d}p_{[k]}}\right) (\ln p_{[j]} + 1) = \ln p_{[k]} + 1 \tag{132}$$

$$\overset{(108)}{\iff} \quad -\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \left(\frac{\mathrm{d}p_{[i]}}{\mathrm{d}p_{[k]}}\right) (\ln p_{[i]} + 1) - (\ln p_{[n]} + 1) \sum_{j=k+1}^{n} \left(\frac{\mathrm{d}p_{[j]}}{\mathrm{d}p_{[k]}}\right) = \ln p_{[k]} + 1 \tag{133}$$

$$\overset{(115)}{\iff} \quad -\left(\frac{\mathrm{d}p_{[1]}}{\mathrm{d}p_{[k]}}\right) (\ln p_{[1]} + 1) - (\ln p_{[n]} + 1) \sum_{j=k+1}^{n} \left(\frac{\mathrm{d}p_{[j]}}{\mathrm{d}p_{[k]}}\right) = \ln p_{[k]} + 1 \tag{134}$$

$$\overset{(116)}{\iff} \quad -\left(\frac{\mathrm{d}p_{[1]}}{\mathrm{d}p_{[k]}}\right) (\ln p_{[1]} + 1) - (\ln p_{[n]} + 1)(n-k) \left(\frac{\mathrm{d}p_{[n]}}{\mathrm{d}p_{[k]}}\right) = \ln p_{[k]} + 1 \tag{135}$$

$$\overset{(122)}{\iff} \quad -\left(-1 - (n-k)\frac{\mathrm{d}p_{[n]}}{\mathrm{d}p_{[k]}}\right) (\ln p_{[1]} + 1) - (n-k) \left(\frac{\mathrm{d}p_{[n]}}{\mathrm{d}p_{[k]}}\right) (\ln p_{[n]} + 1) = \ln p_{[k]} + 1 \tag{136}$$

$$\iff (\ln p_{[1]} + 1) + (n-k) \left(\frac{\mathrm{d}p_{[n]}}{\mathrm{d}p_{[k]}}\right) (\ln p_{[1]} + 1) - (n-k) \left(\frac{\mathrm{d}p_{[n]}}{\mathrm{d}p_{[k]}}\right) (\ln p_{[n]} + 1) = \ln p_{[k]} + 1 \tag{137}$$

$$\iff \quad (n-k) \left(\frac{\mathrm{d}p_{[n]}}{\mathrm{d}p_{[k]}}\right) (\ln p_{[1]} + 1) - (n-k) \left(\frac{\mathrm{d}p_{[n]}}{\mathrm{d}p_{[k]}}\right) (\ln p_{[n]} + 1) = \ln p_{[k]} - \ln p_{[1]} \tag{138}$$

$$\iff \quad (n-k) \left(\frac{\mathrm{d}p_{[n]}}{\mathrm{d}p_{[k]}}\right) (\ln p_{[1]} - \ln p_{[n]}) = \ln p_{[k]} - \ln p_{[1]} \tag{139}$$

$$\iff \quad (n-k) \left(\frac{\mathrm{d}p_{[n]}}{\mathrm{d}p_{[k]}}\right) = \frac{\ln p_{[k]} - \ln p_{[1]}}{\ln p_{[1]} - \ln p_{[n]}} \tag{140}$$

$$\iff \quad \frac{\mathrm{d}p_{[n]}}{\mathrm{d}p_{[k]}} = -\frac{1}{n-k} \left(\frac{\ln p_{[1]} - \ln p_{[k]}}{\ln p_{[1]} - \ln p_{[n]}}\right) \tag{141}$$

where the equivalence (a) follows by the chain rule. We now check the sign of the right-hand side of (141). If $1 > p_{[1]} > p_{[k]} \geq p_{[n]} > 0$, then

$$0 < \frac{\ln p_{[1]} - \ln p_{[k]}}{\ln p_{[1]} - \ln p_{[n]}} < 1 \tag{142}$$

since $0 > \ln p_{[1]} > \ln p_{[k]} > \ln p_{[n]}$; therefore, we get from (141) that

$$-\frac{1}{n-k} < \frac{\mathrm{d}p_{[n]}}{\mathrm{d}p_{[k]}} < 0 \tag{143}$$

for $1 > p_{[1]} > p_{[k]} > p_{[n]} > 0$. Note that $n - k \geq 1$. Moreover, if $1 > p_{[1]} = p_{[k]} > p_{[n]} > 0$, then

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}p_{[n]}}{\mathrm{d}p_{[k]}} = -\frac{1}{n-k}\left(\frac{\ln p_{[1]} - \ln p_{[k]}}{\ln p_{[1]} - \ln p_{[n]}}\right) \tag{144}$$

$$= -\frac{1}{n-k}\left(\frac{0}{\ln p_{[1]} - \ln p_{[n]}}\right) \tag{145}$$

$$= 0. \tag{146}$$

Furthermore, if $1 > p_{[1]} > p_{[k]} = p_{[n]} > 0$, then

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}p_{[n]}}{\mathrm{d}p_{[k]}} = -\frac{1}{n-k}\left(\frac{\ln p_{[1]} - \ln p_{[k]}}{\ln p_{[1]} - \ln p_{[n]}}\right) \tag{147}$$

$$= -\frac{1}{n-k}. \tag{148}$$

Combining (143), (146), and (148), we get under the constraints (107), (108), (115), and (116) that

$$\operatorname{sgn}\left(\frac{\mathrm{d}p_{[n]}}{\mathrm{d}p_{[k]}}\right) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } p_{[1]} = p_{[k]}, \\ -1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \tag{149}$$

for $1 > p_{[1]} \geq p_{[k]} \geq p_{[n]} > 0$ ($p_{[1]} > p_{[n]}$). Note for the constraint (107) that

$$\lim_{(p_{[k+1]}, p_{[k+2]}, \ldots, p_{[n]}) \to (0^+, 0^+, \ldots, 0^+)} H(p_{[1]}, p_{[2]}, \ldots, p_{[n]}) = H(p_{[1]}, p_{[2]}, \ldots, p_{[k]}, 0, 0, \ldots, 0) \tag{150}$$

since $\lim_{x \to 0^+} x \ln x = 0 \ln 0$ by the assumption $0 \ln 0 = 0$. Thus, it follows from (149) that, for all $j \in \{k+1, k+2, \ldots, n\}$, $p_{[j]}$ is strictly decreasing for $p_{[k]}$ under the constraints (107), (108), (115), and (116). Similarly, we check the sign of the right-hand side of (122):

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}p_{[1]}}{\mathrm{d}p_{[k]}} = -1 - (n-k)\frac{\mathrm{d}p_{[n]}}{\mathrm{d}p_{[k]}}. \tag{151}$$

By (143), (146), and (148), we can see that

$$-1 \leq \frac{\mathrm{d}p_{[1]}}{\mathrm{d}p_{[k]}} < 0 \tag{152}$$

for $1 > p_{[1]} \geq p_{[k]} > p_{[n]} > 0$ and

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}p_{[1]}}{\mathrm{d}p_{[k]}} = 0 \tag{153}$$

for $1 > p_{[1]} > p_{[k]} = p_{[n]} > 0$; therefore, we also get under the constraints (107), (108), (115), and (116) that

$$\operatorname{sgn}\left(\frac{\mathrm{d}p_{[1]}}{\mathrm{d}p_{[k]}}\right) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } p_{[k]} = p_{[n]}, \\ -1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \tag{154}$$

for $1 > p_{[1]} \geq p_{[k]} \geq p_{[n]} > 0$ ($p_{[1]} > p_{[n]}$). As with (149), it follows from (154) that $p_{[1]}$ is strictly decreasing for $p_{[k]}$ under the constraints (107), (108), (115), and (116).

On the other hand, for a fixed $\alpha \in (-\infty, 1) \cup (1, +\infty)$, we have

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\|\boldsymbol{p}\|_\alpha}{\mathrm{d}p_{[k]}} = \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}p_{[k]}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n p_i^\alpha \right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \tag{155}$$

$$= \frac{1}{\alpha} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n p_i^\alpha \right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-1} \left(\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}p_{[k]}} \sum_{i=1}^n p_i^\alpha \right) \tag{156}$$

$$= \frac{1}{\alpha} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n p_i^\alpha \right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-1} \left(\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}p_{[k]}} \sum_{i=1}^n p_{[i]}^\alpha \right) \tag{157}$$

$$= \frac{1}{\alpha} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n p_i^\alpha \right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-1} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}p_{[k]}} (p_{[i]}^\alpha) \right) \tag{158}$$

$$= \frac{1}{\alpha} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n p_i^\alpha \right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-1} \left(\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}p_{[k]}} (p_{[k]}^\alpha) + \sum_{i=1:i\neq k}^n \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}p_{[k]}} (p_{[i]}^\alpha) \right) \tag{159}$$

$$= \frac{1}{\alpha} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n p_i^\alpha \right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-1} \left(\alpha\, p_{[k]}^{\alpha-1} + \sum_{i=1:i\neq k}^n \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}p_{[k]}} (p_{[i]}^\alpha) \right) \tag{160}$$

$$= \frac{1}{\alpha} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n p_i^\alpha \right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-1} \left(\alpha\, p_{[k]}^{\alpha-1} + \sum_{i=1:i\neq k}^n \left(\frac{\mathrm{d}p_{[i]}}{\mathrm{d}p_{[k]}} \right) \left(\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}p_{[i]}} (p_{[i]}^\alpha) \right) \right) \tag{161}$$

$$= \frac{1}{\alpha} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n p_i^\alpha \right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-1} \left(\alpha\, p_{[k]}^{\alpha-1} + \sum_{i=1:i\neq k}^n \left(\frac{\mathrm{d}p_{[i]}}{\mathrm{d}p_{[k]}} \right) (\alpha\, p_{[i]}^{\alpha-1}) \right) \tag{162}$$

$$= \left(\sum_{i=1}^n p_i^\alpha \right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-1} \left(p_{[k]}^{\alpha-1} + \sum_{i=1:i\neq k}^n \left(\frac{\mathrm{d}p_{[i]}}{\mathrm{d}p_{[k]}} \right) (p_{[i]}^{\alpha-1}) \right) \tag{163}$$

$$= \left(\sum_{i=1}^n p_i^\alpha \right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-1} \left(p_{[k]}^{\alpha-1} + \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \left(\frac{\mathrm{d}p_{[i]}}{\mathrm{d}p_{[k]}} \right) (p_{[i]}^{\alpha-1}) + \sum_{j=k+1}^n \left(\frac{\mathrm{d}p_{[j]}}{\mathrm{d}p_{[k]}} \right) (p_{[j]}^{\alpha-1}) \right) \tag{164}$$

$$\overset{(108)}{=} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n p_i^\alpha \right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-1} \left(p_{[k]}^{\alpha-1} + \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \left(\frac{\mathrm{d}p_{[i]}}{\mathrm{d}p_{[k]}} \right) (p_{[i]}^{\alpha-1}) + (p_{[n]}^{\alpha-1}) \sum_{j=k+1}^n \left(\frac{\mathrm{d}p_{[j]}}{\mathrm{d}p_{[k]}} \right) \right) \tag{165}$$

$$\overset{(115)}{=} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n p_i^\alpha \right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-1} \left(p_{[k]}^{\alpha-1} + \left(\frac{\mathrm{d}p_{[1]}}{\mathrm{d}p_{[k]}} \right) (p_{[1]}^{\alpha-1}) + (p_{[n]}^{\alpha-1}) \sum_{j=k+1}^n \left(\frac{\mathrm{d}p_{[j]}}{\mathrm{d}p_{[k]}} \right) \right) \tag{166}$$

$$\overset{(116)}{=} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n p_i^\alpha \right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-1} \left(p_{[k]}^{\alpha-1} + \left(\frac{\mathrm{d}p_{[1]}}{\mathrm{d}p_{[k]}} \right) (p_{[1]}^{\alpha-1}) + (p_{[n]}^{\alpha-1})(n-k) \left(\frac{\mathrm{d}p_{[n]}}{\mathrm{d}p_{[k]}} \right) \right) \tag{167}$$

$$\overset{(122)}{=} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n p_i^\alpha \right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-1} \left(p_{[k]}^{\alpha-1} + \left(-1 - (n-k) \frac{\mathrm{d}p_{[n]}}{\mathrm{d}p_{[k]}} \right) (p_{[1]}^{\alpha-1}) + (n-k) \left(\frac{\mathrm{d}p_{[n]}}{\mathrm{d}p_{[k]}} \right) (p_{[n]}^{\alpha-1}) \right) \tag{168}$$

$$= \left(\sum_{i=1}^n p_i^\alpha \right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-1} \left(p_{[k]}^{\alpha-1} - p_{[1]}^{\alpha-1} - (n-k) \left(\frac{\mathrm{d}p_{[n]}}{\mathrm{d}p_{[k]}} \right) (p_{[1]}^{\alpha-1}) + (n-k) \left(\frac{\mathrm{d}p_{[n]}}{\mathrm{d}p_{[k]}} \right) (p_{[n]}^{\alpha-1}) \right) \tag{169}$$

$$= \left(\sum_{i=1}^n p_i^\alpha \right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-1} \left((p_{[k]}^{\alpha-1} - p_{[1]}^{\alpha-1}) + (n-k) \left(\frac{\mathrm{d}p_{[n]}}{\mathrm{d}p_{[k]}} \right) (p_{[n]}^{\alpha-1} - p_{[1]}^{\alpha-1}) \right) \tag{170}$$

$$\overset{(141)}{=} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i^{\alpha}\right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-1} \left((p_{[k]}^{\alpha-1} - p_{[1]}^{\alpha-1}) + (n-k)\left(-\frac{1}{n-k}\left(\frac{\ln p_{[1]} - \ln p_{[k]}}{\ln p_{[1]} - \ln p_{[n]}}\right)\right)(p_{[n]}^{\alpha-1} - p_{[1]}^{\alpha-1})\right) \tag{171}$$

$$= \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i^{\alpha}\right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-1} \left((p_{[k]}^{\alpha-1} - p_{[1]}^{\alpha-1}) - \left(\frac{\ln p_{[1]} - \ln p_{[k]}}{\ln p_{[1]} - \ln p_{[n]}}\right)(p_{[n]}^{\alpha-1} - p_{[1]}^{\alpha-1})\right) \tag{172}$$

$$= \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i^{\alpha}\right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-1} \left(p_{[n]}^{\alpha-1} - p_{[1]}^{\alpha-1}\right)\left(\frac{p_{[k]}^{\alpha-1} - p_{[1]}^{\alpha-1}}{p_{[n]}^{\alpha-1} - p_{[1]}^{\alpha-1}} - \frac{\ln p_{[1]} - \ln p_{[k]}}{\ln p_{[1]} - \ln p_{[n]}}\right) \tag{173}$$

$$= \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i^{\alpha}\right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-1} \left(p_{[n]}^{\alpha-1} - p_{[1]}^{\alpha-1}\right)\left(\frac{p_{[1]}^{\alpha-1}\left(\left(\frac{p_{[k]}}{p_{[1]}}\right)^{\alpha-1} - 1\right)}{p_{[1]}^{\alpha-1}\left(\left(\frac{p_{[n]}}{p_{[1]}}\right)^{\alpha-1} - 1\right)} - \frac{\ln p_{[1]} - \ln p_{[k]}}{\ln p_{[1]} - \ln p_{[n]}}\right) \tag{174}$$

$$= \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i^{\alpha}\right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-1} \left(p_{[n]}^{\alpha-1} - p_{[1]}^{\alpha-1}\right)\left(\frac{\left(\frac{p_{[k]}}{p_{[1]}}\right)^{\alpha-1} - 1}{\left(\frac{p_{[n]}}{p_{[1]}}\right)^{\alpha-1} - 1} - \frac{\ln p_{[1]} - \ln p_{[k]}}{\ln p_{[1]} - \ln p_{[n]}}\right) \tag{175}$$

$$= \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i^{\alpha}\right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-1} \left(p_{[n]}^{\alpha-1} - p_{[1]}^{\alpha-1}\right)\left(\frac{\left(\frac{p_{[1]}}{p_{[k]}}\right)^{1-\alpha} - 1}{\left(\frac{p_{[1]}}{p_{[n]}}\right)^{1-\alpha} - 1} - \frac{\ln p_{[1]} - \ln p_{[k]}}{\ln p_{[1]} - \ln p_{[n]}}\right) \tag{176}$$

$$= \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i^{\alpha}\right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-1} \left(p_{[n]}^{\alpha-1} - p_{[1]}^{\alpha-1}\right)\left(\frac{\ln_{\alpha} \frac{p_{[1]}}{p_{[k]}}}{\ln_{\alpha} \frac{p_{[1]}}{p_{[n]}}} - \frac{\ln \frac{p_{[1]}}{p_{[k]}}}{\ln \frac{p_{[1]}}{p_{[n]}}}\right). \tag{177}$$

Hence, we can see that

$$\operatorname{sgn}\left(\frac{\mathrm{d}\|\boldsymbol{p}\|_{\alpha}}{\mathrm{d}p_{[k]}}\right) = \operatorname{sgn}\left(\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i^{\alpha}\right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-1} \left(p_{[n]}^{\alpha-1} - p_{[1]}^{\alpha-1}\right)\left(\frac{\ln_{\alpha} \frac{p_{[1]}}{p_{[k]}}}{\ln_{\alpha} \frac{p_{[1]}}{p_{[n]}}} - \frac{\ln \frac{p_{[1]}}{p_{[k]}}}{\ln \frac{p_{[1]}}{p_{[n]}}}\right)\right) \tag{178}$$

$$= \underbrace{\operatorname{sgn}\left(\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i^{\alpha}\right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-1}\right)}_{=1} \cdot \operatorname{sgn}\left(p_{[n]}^{\alpha-1} - p_{[1]}^{\alpha-1}\right) \cdot \operatorname{sgn}\left(\frac{\ln_{\alpha} \frac{p_{[1]}}{p_{[k]}}}{\ln_{\alpha} \frac{p_{[1]}}{p_{[n]}}} - \frac{\ln \frac{p_{[1]}}{p_{[k]}}}{\ln \frac{p_{[1]}}{p_{[n]}}}\right) \tag{179}$$

$$= \operatorname{sgn}\left(p_{[n]}^{\alpha-1} - p_{[1]}^{\alpha-1}\right) \cdot \operatorname{sgn}\left(\frac{\ln_{\alpha} \frac{p_{[1]}}{p_{[k]}}}{\ln_{\alpha} \frac{p_{[1]}}{p_{[n]}}} - \frac{\ln \frac{p_{[1]}}{p_{[k]}}}{\ln \frac{p_{[1]}}{p_{[n]}}}\right) \tag{180}$$

for $\alpha \in (-\infty, 0) \cup (0, +\infty)$. Since $\boldsymbol{p} \neq \boldsymbol{u}_n$, i.e., $p_{[1]} > p_{[n]}$, we readily see that

$$\operatorname{sgn}\left(p_{[n]}^{\alpha-1} - p_{[1]}^{\alpha-1}\right) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \alpha < 1, \\ 0 & \text{if } \alpha = 1, \\ -1 & \text{if } \alpha > 1. \end{cases} \tag{181}$$

Moreover, for $1 \leq \frac{p_{[1]}}{p_{[k]}} \leq \frac{p_{[1]}}{p_{[n]}}$ ($\frac{p_{[1]}}{p_{[n]}} \neq 1$), we observe from Lemma 5 that

$$\operatorname{sgn}\left(\frac{\ln_{\alpha} \frac{p_{[1]}}{p_{[k]}}}{\ln_{\alpha} \frac{p_{[1]}}{p_{[n]}}} - \frac{\ln \frac{p_{[1]}}{p_{[k]}}}{\ln \frac{p_{[1]}}{p_{[n]}}}\right) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \alpha > 1 \text{ and } p_{[1]} > p_{[k]} > p_{[n]}, \\ 0 & \text{if } \alpha = 1 \text{ or } p_{[1]} = p_{[k]} \text{ or } p_{[k]} = p_{[n]}, \\ -1 & \text{if } \alpha < 1 \text{ and } p_{[1]} > p_{[k]} > p_{[n]}. \end{cases} \tag{182}$$

Therefore, under the constraints (107), (108), (115), and (116), we have

$$\operatorname{sgn}\left(\frac{\mathrm{d}\|\boldsymbol{p}\|_\alpha}{\mathrm{d}p_{[k]}}\right) \overset{(180)}{=} \operatorname{sgn}\left(p_{[n]}^{\alpha-1} - p_{[1]}^{\alpha-1}\right) \cdot \operatorname{sgn}\left(\frac{\ln_\alpha \frac{p_{[1]}}{p_{[k]}}}{\ln_\alpha \frac{p_{[1]}}{p_{[n]}}} - \frac{\ln \frac{p_{[1]}}{p_{[k]}}}{\ln \frac{p_{[1]}}{p_{[n]}}}\right) \tag{183}$$

$$= \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \alpha = 1 \text{ or } p_{[1]} = p_{[k]} \text{ or } p_{[k]} = p_{[n]}, \\ -1 & \text{if } \alpha \neq 1 \text{ and } p_{[1]} > p_{[k]} > p_{[n]} \end{cases} \tag{184}$$

for $\alpha \in (-\infty, 0) \cup (0, +\infty)$, where the last equality follows from (181) and (182). Hence, we have that $\|\boldsymbol{p}\|_\alpha$ with a fixed $\alpha \in (-\infty, 0) \cup (0, 1) \cup (1, +\infty)$ is strictly decreasing for $p_{[k]}$ under the constraints (107), (108), (115), and (116).

Using the above results, we now prove this lemma. If $p_{[k]} = p_{[k+1]}$, then we reset the index $k \in \{3, 4, \ldots, n-1\}$ to $k-1$; namely, we now choose the index $k \in \{2, 3, \ldots, n-1\}$ to satisfy the following inequalities:

$$p_{[1]} \geq p_{[2]} \geq \cdots \geq p_{[k-1]} \geq p_{[k]} > p_{[k+1]} = p_{[k+2]} = \cdots = p_{[n]} \geq 0. \tag{185}$$

Then, we consider to decrease $p_{[k]}$ under the constraints of (107), (108), (115), and (116). It follows from (154) that $p_{[1]}$ is strictly increased by according to decreasing $p_{[k]}$. Hence, if $p_{[k]}$ is decreased, then the condition $p_{[1]} > p_{[2]}$ must be held. Similarly, it follows from (116) and (149) that, for all $j \in \{k+1, k+2, \ldots, n\}$, $p_{[j]}$ is also strictly increased by according to decreasing $p_{[k]}$. Hence, if $p_{[k]}$ is decreased, then the condition $p_{[k+1]} = p_{[k+2]} = \cdots = p_{[n]} > 0$ must be held. Let $\boldsymbol{q} = (q_1, q_2, \ldots, q_n)$ denote the probability vector that made from $\boldsymbol{p}$ by continuing the above operation until to satisfy $p_{[k]} = p_{[k+1]}$ under the conditions of (107), (108), (115), (116), and (185). Namely, the probability vector $\boldsymbol{q}$ satisfies the following inequalities:

$$q_{[1]} > q_{[2]} \geq q_{[3]} \geq \cdots \geq q_{[k-1]} > q_{[k]} = q_{[k+1]} = \cdots = q_{[n]} > 0. \tag{186}$$

Since $\boldsymbol{q}$ is made from $\boldsymbol{p}$ under the constraint (107), note that

$$H(\boldsymbol{p}) = H(\boldsymbol{q}). \tag{187}$$

Moreover, it follows from (184) that $\|\boldsymbol{p}\|_\alpha$ with a fixed $\alpha \in (0, 1) \cup (1, +\infty)$ is also strictly increased by according to decreasing $p_{[k]}$; that is, we observe that

$$\|\boldsymbol{p}\|_\alpha \leq \|\boldsymbol{q}\|_\alpha \tag{188}$$

for $\alpha \in (0, 1) \cup (1, +\infty)$. Repeating these operation until to satisfy $k = 2$ and $p_{[k]} = p_{[n]}$, we have that

$$H(\boldsymbol{p}) = H_{\boldsymbol{v}_n}(p), \tag{189}$$

$$\|\boldsymbol{p}\|_\alpha \leq \|\boldsymbol{v}_n(p)\|_\alpha \tag{190}$$

for all $\alpha \in (0, 1) \cup (1, +\infty)$ and some $p \in [0, \frac{1}{n}]$. That completes the proof of Lemma 6. ■

Lemma 7. *For any $n \geq 2$ and any $\boldsymbol{p} \in \mathcal{P}_n$, there exists $p \in [\frac{1}{n}, 1]$ such that $H_{\boldsymbol{w}_n}(p) = H(\boldsymbol{p})$ and $\|\boldsymbol{w}_n(p)\|_\alpha \leq \|\boldsymbol{p}\|_\alpha$ for all $\alpha \in (0, \infty)$.*

Proof of Lemma 7: This proof is similar to the proof of Lemma 6. If $n = 2$, then it can be easily seen that $\boldsymbol{p}_{\downarrow} = \boldsymbol{w}_2(p)$ for any $\boldsymbol{p} \in \mathcal{P}_2$ and some $p \in [\frac{1}{2}, 1]$; therefore, the lemma obviously holds when $n = 2$. Moreover, since

$$H(\boldsymbol{p}) = \ln n \qquad \Longleftrightarrow \qquad \boldsymbol{p} = \boldsymbol{u}_n = \boldsymbol{w}_n(\tfrac{1}{n}), \tag{191}$$

$$H(\boldsymbol{p}) = 0 \qquad \Longleftrightarrow \qquad \boldsymbol{p}_{\downarrow} = \boldsymbol{d}_n = \boldsymbol{w}_n(1), \tag{192}$$

the lemma obviously holds if $H(\boldsymbol{p}) \in \{0, \ln n\}$. Furthermore, if $\boldsymbol{p}_{\downarrow} = \boldsymbol{w}_n(\frac{1}{m})$ for an integer $2 \le m \le n-1$, then the lemma also obviously holds. Thus, we omit the cases $n = 2$, $H(\boldsymbol{p}) \in \{0, \ln n\}$, and $\boldsymbol{p}_{\downarrow} = \boldsymbol{w}_n(\frac{1}{m})$ in the analyses. For a fixed $n \ge 3$ and a constant $A \in (0, \ln n)$, we assume for $\boldsymbol{p} \in P_n$ that

$$H(\boldsymbol{p}) = A. \tag{193}$$

For that $\boldsymbol{p}$, let $k, l \in \{2, 3, \ldots, n\}$ $(k < l)$ be the indices such that $p_{[1]} = p_{[k-1]} > p_{[k+1]}$ and $p_{[l]} > p_{[l+1]} = 0$; namely, the indices k, l are chosen to satisfy the following inequalities:

$$p_{[1]} = \cdots = p_{[k-1]} \ge p_{[k]} \ge p_{[k+1]} \ge \cdots \ge p_{[l-1]} \ge p_{[l]} > p_{[l+1]} = \cdots = p_{[n]} = 0 \quad (p_{[k-1]} > p_{[k+1]}). \tag{194}$$

Since $p_1 + p_2 + \cdots + p_n = 1$, we observe as with (114) that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i = 1 \qquad \Longrightarrow \qquad \sum_{i=1:i\neq k}^{n} \frac{\mathrm{d}p_{[i]}}{\mathrm{d}p_{[k]}} = -1. \tag{195}$$

In this proof, we further assume that

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}p_{[i]}}{\mathrm{d}p_{[k]}} = \frac{\mathrm{d}p_{[1]}}{\mathrm{d}p_{[k]}} \tag{196}$$

for $i \in \{2, 3, \ldots, k-1\}$,

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}p_{[j]}}{\mathrm{d}p_{[k]}} = 1 \tag{197}$$

for $j \in \{k+1, k+2, \ldots, l-1\}$, and

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}p_{[m]}}{\mathrm{d}p_{[k]}} = 0 \tag{198}$$

for $m \in \{l+1, l+2, \ldots, n\}$. Note that (197) implies that, for all $j \in \{k+1, k+2, \ldots, l-1\}$, the increase/decrease rate of $p_{[j]}$ is equivalent to the increase/decrease rate of $p_{[k]}$. By constraints (196), (197), and (198), we get

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i = 1 \tag{199}$$

$$\overset{(195)}{\Longrightarrow} \qquad \sum_{i=1:i\neq k}^{n} \frac{\mathrm{d}p_{[i]}}{\mathrm{d}p_{[k]}} = -1 \tag{200}$$

$$\Longleftrightarrow \qquad \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \frac{\mathrm{d}p_{[i]}}{\mathrm{d}p_{[k]}} + \sum_{j=k+1}^{l-1} \frac{\mathrm{d}p_{[j]}}{\mathrm{d}p_{[k]}} + \frac{\mathrm{d}p_{[l]}}{\mathrm{d}p_{[k]}} + \sum_{m=l+1}^{n} \frac{\mathrm{d}p_{[m]}}{\mathrm{d}p_{[l]}} = -1 \tag{201}$$

$$\overset{(196)}{\Longleftrightarrow} \qquad (k-1)\frac{\mathrm{d}p_{[1]}}{\mathrm{d}p_{[k]}} + \sum_{j=k+1}^{l-1} \frac{\mathrm{d}p_{[j]}}{\mathrm{d}p_{[k]}} + \frac{\mathrm{d}p_{[l]}}{\mathrm{d}p_{[k]}} + \sum_{m=l+1}^{n} \frac{\mathrm{d}p_{[m]}}{\mathrm{d}p_{[l]}} = -1 \tag{202}$$

$$\overset{(197)}{\Longleftrightarrow} \qquad (k-1)\frac{\mathrm{d}p_{[1]}}{\mathrm{d}p_{[k]}} + (l-k-1) + \frac{\mathrm{d}p_{[l]}}{\mathrm{d}p_{[k]}} + \sum_{m=l+1}^{n} \frac{\mathrm{d}p_{[m]}}{\mathrm{d}p_{[l]}} = -1 \tag{203}$$

$$\overset{(198)}{\iff} \quad (k-1)\frac{\mathrm{d}p_{[1]}}{\mathrm{d}p_{[k]}} + (l-k-1) + \frac{\mathrm{d}p_{[l]}}{\mathrm{d}p_{[k]}} = -1 \tag{204}$$

$$\iff \quad (k-1)\frac{\mathrm{d}p_{[1]}}{\mathrm{d}p_{[k]}} + \frac{\mathrm{d}p_{[l]}}{\mathrm{d}p_{[k]}} = -(l-k) \tag{205}$$

$$\iff \quad (k-1)\frac{\mathrm{d}p_{[1]}}{\mathrm{d}p_{[k]}} = -(l-k) - \frac{\mathrm{d}p_{[l]}}{\mathrm{d}p_{[k]}} \tag{206}$$

$$\iff \quad \frac{\mathrm{d}p_{[1]}}{\mathrm{d}p_{[k]}} = -\frac{1}{k-1}\left((l-k) + \frac{\mathrm{d}p_{[l]}}{\mathrm{d}p_{[k]}}\right), \tag{207}$$

where note in (207) that $k \geq 2$. Moreover, since $H(\boldsymbol{p}) = A$, we observe that

$$-\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i \ln p_i = A \tag{208}$$

$$\overset{(131)}{\Longrightarrow} \quad -\sum_{i=1:i\neq k}^{n}\left(\frac{\mathrm{d}p_{[i]}}{\mathrm{d}p_{[k]}}\right)(\ln p_{[i]} + 1) = \ln p_{[k]} + 1 \tag{209}$$

$$\iff \quad -\sum_{i=1:i\neq k}^{l}\left(\frac{\mathrm{d}p_{[i]}}{\mathrm{d}p_{[k]}}\right)(\ln p_{[i]} + 1) - \sum_{m=l+1}^{n}\left(\frac{\mathrm{d}p_{[m]}}{\mathrm{d}p_{[k]}}\right)(\ln p_{[m]} + 1) = \ln p_{[k]} + 1 \tag{210}$$

$$\overset{(a)}{\iff} \quad -\sum_{i=1:i\neq k}^{l}\left(\frac{\mathrm{d}p_{[i]}}{\mathrm{d}p_{[k]}}\right)(\ln p_{[i]} + 1) = \ln p_{[k]} + 1 \tag{211}$$

$$\iff \quad -\sum_{i=1}^{k-1}\left(\frac{\mathrm{d}p_{[i]}}{\mathrm{d}p_{[k]}}\right)(\ln p_{[i]} + 1) - \sum_{j=k+1}^{l-1}\left(\frac{\mathrm{d}p_{[j]}}{\mathrm{d}p_{[k]}}\right)(\ln p_{[j]} + 1) - \left(\frac{\mathrm{d}p_{[l]}}{\mathrm{d}p_{[k]}}\right)(\ln p_{[l]} + 1) = \ln p_{[k]} + 1 \tag{212}$$

$$\overset{(194)}{\iff} \quad -(\ln p_{[1]} + 1)\sum_{i=1}^{k-1}\left(\frac{\mathrm{d}p_{[i]}}{\mathrm{d}p_{[k]}}\right) - \sum_{j=k+1}^{l-1}\left(\frac{\mathrm{d}p_{[j]}}{\mathrm{d}p_{[k]}}\right)(\ln p_{[j]} + 1) - \left(\frac{\mathrm{d}p_{[l]}}{\mathrm{d}p_{[k]}}\right)(\ln p_{[l]} + 1) = \ln p_{[k]} + 1 \tag{213}$$

$$\overset{(197)}{\iff} \quad -(\ln p_{[1]} + 1)\sum_{i=1}^{k-1}\left(\frac{\mathrm{d}p_{[i]}}{\mathrm{d}p_{[k]}}\right) - \sum_{j=k+1}^{l-1}(\ln p_{[j]} + 1) - \left(\frac{\mathrm{d}p_{[l]}}{\mathrm{d}p_{[k]}}\right)(\ln p_{[l]} + 1) = \ln p_{[k]} + 1 \tag{214}$$

$$\overset{(196)}{\iff} \quad -(k-1)(\ln p_{[1]} + 1)\left(\frac{\mathrm{d}p_{[1]}}{\mathrm{d}p_{[k]}}\right) - \sum_{j=k+1}^{l-1}(\ln p_{[j]} + 1) - \left(\frac{\mathrm{d}p_{[l]}}{\mathrm{d}p_{[k]}}\right)(\ln p_{[l]} + 1) = \ln p_{[k]} + 1 \tag{215}$$

$$\overset{(207)}{\iff} \quad (\ln p_{[1]} + 1)\left((l-k) + \frac{\mathrm{d}p_{[l]}}{\mathrm{d}p_{[k]}}\right) - \sum_{j=k+1}^{l-1}(\ln p_{[j]} + 1) - \left(\frac{\mathrm{d}p_{[l]}}{\mathrm{d}p_{[k]}}\right)(\ln p_{[l]} + 1) = \ln p_{[k]} + 1 \tag{216}$$

$$\iff \quad (l-k)(\ln p_{[1]} + 1) - \sum_{j=k+1}^{l-1}(\ln p_{[j]} + 1) + \left(\frac{\mathrm{d}p_{[l]}}{\mathrm{d}p_{[k]}}\right)(\ln p_{[1]} - \ln p_{[l]}) = \ln p_{[k]} + 1 \tag{217}$$

$$\iff \quad (l-k)(\ln p_{[1]} + 1) - \sum_{j=k}^{l-1}(\ln p_{[j]} + 1) + \left(\frac{\mathrm{d}p_{[l]}}{\mathrm{d}p_{[k]}}\right)(\ln p_{[1]} - \ln p_{[l]}) = 0 \tag{218}$$

$$\iff \quad \sum_{j=k}^{l-1}(\ln p_{[1]} - \ln p_{[j]}) + \left(\frac{\mathrm{d}p_{[l]}}{\mathrm{d}p_{[k]}}\right)(\ln p_{[1]} - \ln p_{[l]}) = 0, \tag{219}$$

where (a) follows from the fact that $\left(\frac{\mathrm{d}p_{[m]}}{\mathrm{d}p_{[k]}}\right)(\ln p_{[m]} + 1) = 0$ for $m \in \{l+1, l+2, \ldots, n\}$ since $\frac{\mathrm{d}p_{[m]}}{\mathrm{d}p_{[k]}} = 0$ (see Eq. (198)), $p_{[m]} = 0$ (see Eq. (194)), and $0 \ln 0 = 0$. Hence, under the constraints (193), (194), (196), (197), and (198), we observe that

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}p_{[l]}}{\mathrm{d}p_{[k]}} = -\frac{\sum_{j=k}^{l-1}(\ln p_{[1]} - \ln p_{[j]})}{\ln p_{[1]} - \ln p_{[l]}}. \tag{220}$$

We now check the sign of the right-hand side of (220). Note that

$$-(l-k)\left(\frac{\ln p_{[1]}-\ln p_{[l-1]}}{\ln p_{[1]}-\ln p_{[l]}}\right) \le \frac{\mathrm{d}p_{[l]}}{\mathrm{d}p_{[k]}} \le -(l-k)\left(\frac{\ln p_{[1]}-\ln p_{[k]}}{\ln p_{[1]}-\ln p_{[l]}}\right) \tag{221}$$

since $\ln p_{[k]} \ge \ln p_{[j]} \ge \ln p_{[l-1]}$ for all $j \in \{k, k+1, \ldots, l-1\}$. If $1 > p_{[1]} > p_{[k]} \ge p_{[l]} > 0$, then

$$\frac{\ln p_{[1]}-\ln p_{[k]}}{\ln p_{[1]}-\ln p_{[l]}} > 0 \tag{222}$$

since $0 > \ln p_{[1]} > \ln p_{[k]} \ge \ln p_{[l]}$; therefore, we get for the upper bound of (221) that

$$-(l-k)\left(\frac{\ln p_{[1]}-\ln p_{[k]}}{\ln p_{[1]}-\ln p_{[l]}}\right) < 0 \tag{223}$$

for $1 > p_{[1]} > p_{[k]} \ge p_{[l]} > 0$, where note that $l-k \ge 1$. Moreover, if $1 > p_{[1]} = p_{[k]} > p_{[l]} > 0$, then

$$-(l-k)\left(\frac{\ln p_{[1]}-\ln p_{[k]}}{\ln p_{[1]}-\ln p_{[l]}}\right) = -(l-k)\left(\frac{0}{\ln p_{[1]}-\ln p_{[l]}}\right) \tag{224}$$

$$= 0. \tag{225}$$

Combining (223) and (225), we see that the upper bound of (221) is always nonpositive for $1 > p_{[1]} \ge p_{[k]} \ge p_{[l]} > 0$ ($p_{[1]} > p_{[l]}$); that is, we observe under the constraints (193), (194), (196), (197), and (198) that

$$\operatorname{sgn}\left(\frac{\mathrm{d}p_{[l]}}{\mathrm{d}p_{[k]}}\right) \overset{(221)}{\le} \operatorname{sgn}\left(-(l-k)\left(\frac{\ln p_{[1]}-\ln p_{[k]}}{\ln p_{[1]}-\ln p_{[l]}}\right)\right) \tag{226}$$

$$= \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } p_{[1]} = p_{[k]}, \\ -1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \tag{227}$$

for $1 > p_{[1]} \ge p_{[k]} \ge p_{[l]} > 0$ ($p_{[1]} > p_{[l]}$). Note for the constraint (193) that

$$\lim_{p_{[l]} \to 0^+} H(p_{[1]}, p_{[2]}, \ldots, p_{[l-1]}, p_{[l]}, 0, 0, \ldots, 0) = H(p_{[1]}, p_{[2]}, \ldots, p_{[l-1]}, 0, 0, \ldots, 0) \tag{228}$$

since $\lim_{x \to 0^+} x \ln x = 0 \ln 0$ by the assumption $0 \ln 0 = 0$. Thus, it follows from (227) that $p_{[l]}$ is strictly decreasing for $p_{[k]}$ under the constraints (193), (194), (196), (197), and (198). Similarly, we check the sign of the right-hand side of (207). Substituting the lower bound of (221) into the right-hand side of (207), we observe that

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}p_{[1]}}{\mathrm{d}p_{[k]}} \le -\frac{l-k}{k-1}\left(1 - \frac{\ln p_{[1]}-\ln p_{[l-1]}}{\ln p_{[1]}-\ln p_{[l]}}\right). \tag{229}$$

If $1 > p_{[1]} \ge p_{[l-1]} > p_{[l]} > 0$, then

$$\frac{\ln p_{[1]}-\ln p_{[l-1]}}{\ln p_{[1]}-\ln p_{[l]}} < 1 \tag{230}$$

since $0 > \ln p_{[1]} \ge \ln p_{[l-1]} > \ln p_{[l]}$; therefore, we get for the upper bound of (229) that

$$-\frac{l-k}{k-1}\left(1 - \frac{\ln p_{[1]}-\ln p_{[l-1]}}{\ln p_{[1]}-\ln p_{[l]}}\right) < 0 \tag{231}$$

for $1 > p_{[1]} \ge p_{[l-1]} > p_{[l]} > 0$, where note that $\frac{l-k}{k-1} > 0$. Moreover, if $1 > p_{[1]} = p_{[l-1]} > p_{[l]} > 0$, then

$$-\frac{l-k}{k-1}\left(1 - \frac{\ln p_{[1]}-\ln p_{[l-1]}}{\ln p_{[1]}-\ln p_{[l]}}\right) = -\frac{l-k}{k-1}\left(1 - \frac{\ln p_{[1]}-\ln p_{[l]}}{\ln p_{[1]}-\ln p_{[l]}}\right) \tag{232}$$

$$= -\frac{l-k}{k-1}(1-1) \tag{233}$$

$$= 0. \tag{234}$$

It follows from (231) and (234) that the upper bound of (229) is always nonpositive for $1 > p_{[1]} \geq p_{[l-1]} \geq p_{[l]} > 0$ ($p_{[1]} > p_{[l]}$); that is, we observe under the constraints (193), (194), (196), (197), and (198) that

$$\operatorname{sgn}\left(\frac{\mathrm{d}p_{[1]}}{\mathrm{d}p_{[k]}}\right) \overset{(229)}{\leq} \operatorname{sgn}\left(-\frac{l-k}{k-1}\left(1-\frac{\ln p_{[1]}-\ln p_{[l-1]}}{\ln p_{[1]}-\ln p_{[l]}}\right)\right) \tag{235}$$

$$= \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } p_{[l-1]} = p_{[l]}, \\ -1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \tag{236}$$

for $1 > p_{[1]} \geq p_{[l-1]} \geq p_{[l]} > 0$ ($p_{[1]} > p_{[l]}$). As with (227), it follows from (236) that, for all $i \in \{1, 2, \ldots, k-1\}$, $p_{[i]}$ is strictly decreasing for $p_{[k]}$ under the constraints (193), (194), (196), (197), and (198).

On the other hand, for a fixed $\alpha \in (0,1) \cup (1, +\infty)$, we have

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\|\boldsymbol{p}\|_\alpha}{\mathrm{d}p_{[k]}} \overset{(160)}{=} \frac{1}{\alpha}\left(\sum_{i=1}^n p_i^\alpha\right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-1}\left(\alpha\, p_{[k]}^{\alpha-1} + \sum_{i=1:i\neq k}^n \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}p_{[k]}}(p_{[i]}^\alpha)\right) \tag{237}$$

$$= \frac{1}{\alpha}\left(\sum_{i=1}^n p_i^\alpha\right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-1}\left(\alpha\, p_{[k]}^{\alpha-1} + \sum_{i=1:i\neq k}^l \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}p_{[k]}}(p_{[i]}^\alpha) + \sum_{m=l+1}^n \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}p_{[k]}}(p_{[m]}^\alpha)\right) \tag{238}$$

$$\overset{(a)}{=} \frac{1}{\alpha}\left(\sum_{i=1}^n p_i^\alpha\right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-1}\left(\alpha\, p_{[k]}^{\alpha-1} + \sum_{i=1:i\neq k}^l \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}p_{[k]}}(p_{[i]}^\alpha)\right) \tag{239}$$

$$= \frac{1}{\alpha}\left(\sum_{i=1}^n p_i^\alpha\right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-1}\left(\alpha\, p_{[k]}^{\alpha-1} + \sum_{i=1:i\neq k}^l \left(\frac{\mathrm{d}p_{[i]}}{\mathrm{d}p_{[k]}}\right)\left(\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}p_{[i]}}(p_{[i]}^\alpha)\right)\right) \tag{240}$$

$$= \frac{1}{\alpha}\left(\sum_{i=1}^n p_i^\alpha\right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-1}\left(\alpha\, p_{[k]}^{\alpha-1} + \sum_{i=1:i\neq k}^l \left(\frac{\mathrm{d}p_{[i]}}{\mathrm{d}p_{[k]}}\right)(\alpha\, p_{[i]}^{\alpha-1})\right) \tag{241}$$

$$= \left(\sum_{i=1}^n p_i^\alpha\right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-1}\left(p_{[k]}^{\alpha-1} + \sum_{i=1:i\neq k}^l \left(\frac{\mathrm{d}p_{[i]}}{\mathrm{d}p_{[k]}}\right) p_{[i]}^{\alpha-1}\right) \tag{242}$$

$$= \left(\sum_{i=1}^n p_i^\alpha\right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-1}\left(p_{[k]}^{\alpha-1} + \sum_{i=1}^{k-1}\left(\frac{\mathrm{d}p_{[i]}}{\mathrm{d}p_{[k]}}\right) p_{[i]}^{\alpha-1} + \sum_{j=k+1}^{l-1}\left(\frac{\mathrm{d}p_{[j]}}{\mathrm{d}p_{[k]}}\right) p_{[j]}^{\alpha-1} + \left(\frac{\mathrm{d}p_{[l]}}{\mathrm{d}p_{[k]}}\right) p_{[l]}^{\alpha-1}\right) \tag{243}$$

$$\overset{(194)}{=} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n p_i^\alpha\right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-1}\left(p_{[k]}^{\alpha-1} + p_{[1]}^{\alpha-1}\sum_{i=1}^{k-1}\left(\frac{\mathrm{d}p_{[i]}}{\mathrm{d}p_{[k]}}\right) + \sum_{j=k+1}^{l-1}\left(\frac{\mathrm{d}p_{[j]}}{\mathrm{d}p_{[k]}}\right) p_{[j]}^{\alpha-1} + \left(\frac{\mathrm{d}p_{[l]}}{\mathrm{d}p_{[k]}}\right) p_{[l]}^{\alpha-1}\right) \tag{244}$$

$$\overset{(196)}{=} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n p_i^\alpha\right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-1}\left(p_{[k]}^{\alpha-1} + p_{[1]}^{\alpha-1}(k-1)\left(\frac{\mathrm{d}p_{[1]}}{\mathrm{d}p_{[k]}}\right) + \sum_{j=k+1}^{l-1}\left(\frac{\mathrm{d}p_{[j]}}{\mathrm{d}p_{[k]}}\right) p_{[j]}^{\alpha-1} + \left(\frac{\mathrm{d}p_{[l]}}{\mathrm{d}p_{[k]}}\right) p_{[l]}^{\alpha-1}\right) \tag{245}$$

$$\overset{(197)}{=} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n p_i^\alpha\right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-1}\left(p_{[k]}^{\alpha-1} + p_{[1]}^{\alpha-1}(k-1)\left(\frac{\mathrm{d}p_{[1]}}{\mathrm{d}p_{[k]}}\right) + \sum_{j=k+1}^{l-1} p_{[j]}^{\alpha-1} + \left(\frac{\mathrm{d}p_{[l]}}{\mathrm{d}p_{[k]}}\right) p_{[l]}^{\alpha-1}\right) \tag{246}$$

$$\overset{(207)}{=} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n p_i^\alpha\right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-1}\left(p_{[k]}^{\alpha-1} - p_{[1]}^{\alpha-1}\left((l-k) + \frac{\mathrm{d}p_{[l]}}{\mathrm{d}p_{[k]}}\right) + \sum_{j=k+1}^{l-1} p_{[j]}^{\alpha-1} + \left(\frac{\mathrm{d}p_{[l]}}{\mathrm{d}p_{[k]}}\right) p_{[l]}^{\alpha-1}\right) \tag{247}$$

$$= \left(\sum_{i=1}^n p_i^\alpha\right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-1}\left(\sum_{j=k}^{l-1} p_{[j]}^{\alpha-1} - p_{[1]}^{\alpha-1}(l-k) + \left(\frac{\mathrm{d}p_{[l]}}{\mathrm{d}p_{[k]}}\right)(p_{[l]}^{\alpha-1} - p_{[1]}^{\alpha-1})\right) \tag{248}$$

$$= \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i^{\alpha}\right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-1} \left(\sum_{j=k}^{l-1}(p_{[j]}^{\alpha-1} - p_{[1]}^{\alpha-1}) + \left(\frac{\mathrm{d}p_{[l]}}{\mathrm{d}p_{[k]}}\right)(p_{[l]}^{\alpha-1} - p_{[1]}^{\alpha-1})\right) \tag{249}$$

$$\overset{(220)}{=} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i^{\alpha}\right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-1} \left(\sum_{j=k}^{l-1}(p_{[j]}^{\alpha-1} - p_{[1]}^{\alpha-1}) + \left(-\frac{\sum_{j=k}^{l-1}(\ln p_{[1]} - \ln p_{[j]})}{\ln p_{[1]} - \ln p_{[l]}}\right)(p_{[l]}^{\alpha-1} - p_{[1]}^{\alpha-1})\right) \tag{250}$$

$$= \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i^{\alpha}\right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-1} \left(p_{[l]}^{\alpha-1} - p_{[1]}^{\alpha-1}\right)\left(\frac{\sum_{j=k}^{l-1}(p_{[j]}^{\alpha-1} - p_{[1]}^{\alpha-1})}{p_{[l]}^{\alpha-1} - p_{[1]}^{\alpha-1}} - \frac{\sum_{j=k}^{l-1}(\ln p_{[1]} - \ln p_{[j]})}{\ln p_{[1]} - \ln p_{[l]}}\right) \tag{251}$$

$$= \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i^{\alpha}\right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-1} \left(p_{[l]}^{\alpha-1} - p_{[1]}^{\alpha-1}\right)\sum_{j=k}^{l-1}\left(\frac{p_{[j]}^{\alpha-1} - p_{[1]}^{\alpha-1}}{p_{[l]}^{\alpha-1} - p_{[1]}^{\alpha-1}} - \frac{\ln p_{[1]} - \ln p_{[j]}}{\ln p_{[1]} - \ln p_{[l]}}\right) \tag{252}$$

$$= \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i^{\alpha}\right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-1} \left(p_{[l]}^{\alpha-1} - p_{[1]}^{\alpha-1}\right)\sum_{j=k}^{l-1}\left(\frac{\left(\frac{p_{[1]}}{p_{[j]}}\right)^{1-\alpha} - 1}{\left(\frac{p_{[1]}}{p_{[l]}}\right)^{1-\alpha} - 1} - \frac{\ln p_{[1]} - \ln p_{[j]}}{\ln p_{[1]} - \ln p_{[l]}}\right) \tag{253}$$

$$= \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i^{\alpha}\right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-1} \left(p_{[l]}^{\alpha-1} - p_{[1]}^{\alpha-1}\right)\sum_{j=k}^{l-1}\left(\frac{\ln_\alpha \frac{p_{[1]}}{p_{[j]}}}{\ln_\alpha \frac{p_{[1]}}{p_{[l]}}} - \frac{\ln \frac{p_{[1]}}{p_{[j]}}}{\ln \frac{p_{[1]}}{p_{[l]}}}\right) \tag{254}$$

where (a) holds since the constraint (198) implies that $p_{[m]}$ is constant for $p_{[k]}$. Hence, we can see that

$$\operatorname{sgn}\left(\frac{\mathrm{d}\|\boldsymbol{p}\|_\alpha}{\mathrm{d}p_{[k]}}\right) = \operatorname{sgn}\left(\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i^{\alpha}\right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-1} \left(p_{[l]}^{\alpha-1} - p_{[1]}^{\alpha-1}\right)\sum_{j=k}^{l-1}\left(\frac{\ln_\alpha \frac{p_{[1]}}{p_{[j]}}}{\ln_\alpha \frac{p_{[1]}}{p_{[l]}}} - \frac{\ln \frac{p_{[1]}}{p_{[j]}}}{\ln \frac{p_{[1]}}{p_{[l]}}}\right)\right) \tag{255}$$

$$= \underbrace{\operatorname{sgn}\left(\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i^{\alpha}\right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-1}\right)}_{=1} \cdot \operatorname{sgn}\left(p_{[l]}^{\alpha-1} - p_{[1]}^{\alpha-1}\right) \cdot \operatorname{sgn}\left(\sum_{j=k}^{l-1}\left(\frac{\ln_\alpha \frac{p_{[1]}}{p_{[j]}}}{\ln_\alpha \frac{p_{[1]}}{p_{[l]}}} - \frac{\ln \frac{p_{[1]}}{p_{[j]}}}{\ln \frac{p_{[1]}}{p_{[l]}}}\right)\right) \tag{256}$$

$$= \operatorname{sgn}\left(p_{[l]}^{\alpha-1} - p_{[1]}^{\alpha-1}\right) \cdot \operatorname{sgn}\left(\sum_{j=k}^{l-1}\left(\frac{\ln_\alpha \frac{p_{[1]}}{p_{[j]}}}{\ln_\alpha \frac{p_{[1]}}{p_{[l]}}} - \frac{\ln \frac{p_{[1]}}{p_{[j]}}}{\ln \frac{p_{[1]}}{p_{[l]}}}\right)\right) \tag{257}$$

for $\alpha \in (0,1) \cup (1,\infty)$. As with (181), we readily see that

$$\operatorname{sgn}\left(p_{[l]}^{\alpha-1} - p_{[1]}^{\alpha-1}\right) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \alpha < 1, \\ 0 & \text{if } \alpha = 1, \\ -1 & \text{if } \alpha > 1 \end{cases} \tag{258}$$

for $p_{[1]} > p_{[l]} > 0$. Moreover, since $1 \le \frac{p_{[1]}}{p_{[j]}} \le \frac{p_{[1]}}{p_{[l]}}$ ($\frac{p_{[1]}}{p_{[l]}} \ne 1$) for $j \in \{k, k+1, \ldots, l-1\}$, we observe from Lemma 5 that

$$\operatorname{sgn}\left(\frac{\ln_\alpha \frac{p_{[1]}}{p_{[j]}}}{\ln_\alpha \frac{p_{[1]}}{p_{[l]}}} - \frac{\ln \frac{p_{[1]}}{p_{[j]}}}{\ln \frac{p_{[1]}}{p_{[l]}}}\right) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \alpha > 1 \text{ and } p_{[1]} > p_{[j]} > p_{[l]}, \\ 0 & \text{if } \alpha = 1 \text{ or } p_{[1]} = p_{[j]} \text{ or } p_{[j]} = p_{[l]}, \\ -1 & \text{if } \alpha < 1 \text{ and } p_{[1]} > p_{[j]} > p_{[l]}. \end{cases} \tag{259}$$

for $j \in \{k, k+1, \ldots, l-1\}$; and therefore, we have

$$\operatorname{sgn}\left(\sum_{j=k}^{l-1}\left(\frac{\ln_\alpha \frac{p_{[1]}}{p_{[j]}}}{\ln_\alpha \frac{p_{[1]}}{p_{[l]}}} - \frac{\ln \frac{p_{[1]}}{p_{[j]}}}{\ln \frac{p_{[1]}}{p_{[l]}}}\right)\right) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \alpha > 1 \text{ and } (p_{[1]} > p_{[k]} \geq p_{[l]} \text{ or } p_{[1]} \geq p_{[k]} > p_{[l]}), \\ 0 & \text{if } \alpha = 1 \text{ or } (p_{[1]} = p_{[k]} \text{ and } p_{[k+1]} = p_{[l]}) \text{ or } p_{[k]} = p_{[l]}, \\ -1 & \text{if } \alpha < 1 \text{ and } (p_{[1]} > p_{[k]} \geq p_{[l]} \text{ or } p_{[1]} \geq p_{[k]} > p_{[l]}) \end{cases} \tag{260}$$

for $\boldsymbol{p} \in \mathcal{P}_n$ under the constraint (194). Therefore, under the constraints (193), (194), (196), (197), and (198), we obtain

$$\operatorname{sgn}\left(\frac{\mathrm{d}\|\boldsymbol{p}\|_\alpha}{\mathrm{d}p_{[k]}}\right) \overset{(257)}{=} \operatorname{sgn}\left(p_{[l]}^{\alpha-1} - p_{[1]}^{\alpha-1}\right) \cdot \operatorname{sgn}\left(\sum_{j=k}^{l-1}\left(\frac{\ln_\alpha \frac{p_{[1]}}{p_{[j]}}}{\ln_\alpha \frac{p_{[1]}}{p_{[l]}}} - \frac{\ln \frac{p_{[1]}}{p_{[j]}}}{\ln \frac{p_{[1]}}{p_{[l]}}}\right)\right) \tag{261}$$

$$= \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \alpha = 1 \text{ or } (p_{[1]} = p_{[k]} \text{ and } p_{[k+1]} = p_{[l]}) \text{ or } p_{[k]} = p_{[l]}, \\ -1 & \text{if } \alpha \neq 1 \text{ and } (p_{[1]} > p_{[k]} \geq p_{[l]} \text{ or } p_{[1]} \geq p_{[k]} > p_{[l]}) \end{cases} \tag{262}$$

for $\alpha \in (0,1) \cup (1, +\infty)$, where the last equality follows from (258) and (260). Hence, we have that $\|\boldsymbol{p}\|_\alpha$ with a fixed $\alpha \in (0,1) \cup (1, +\infty)$ is strictly decreasing for $p_{[k]}$ under the constraints (193), (194), (196), (197), and (198).

Using the above results, we now prove this lemma. Note that, if $p_{[k-1]} = p_{[k]}$ and $k = l-1$, then $\boldsymbol{p}_\downarrow = \boldsymbol{w}_n(p)$ for some $p \in [\frac{1}{n}, 1]$. If $p_{[k-1]} = p_{[k]}$ and $k < l-1$, then we reset the index $k \in \{2, 3, \ldots, n-2\}$ to $k+1$; namely; we now choose the indices $k, l \in \{2, 3, \ldots, n\}$ $(k < l)$ to satisfy the following inequalities:

$$p_{[1]} = p_{[2]} = \cdots = p_{[k-1]} > p_{[k]} \geq p_{[k+1]} \geq \cdots \geq p_{[l-1]} \geq p_{[l]} > p_{[l+1]} = p_{[l+2]} = \cdots = p_{[n]} = 0. \tag{263}$$

Then, we consider to increase $p_{[k]}$ under the constraints of (193), (194), (196), (197), and (198). Note that the constraint (197) implies that, for all $j \in \{k+1, k+2, \ldots, l-1\}$, $p_{[j]}$ is strictly increased with the same speed of increasing $p_{[k]}$. It follows from (196) and (236) that, for all $i \in \{1, 2, \ldots, k-1\}$, $p_{[i]}$ is strictly decreased by according to increasing $p_{[k]}$. Hence, if $p_{[k]}$ is decreased, then there is a possibility that $p_{[1]} = \cdots = p_{[k-1]} = p_{[k]}$. Similarly, it follows from (227) that $p_{[l]}$ is also strictly decreased by according to increasing $p_{[k]}$. Hence, if $p_{[k]}$ is decreased, then there is a possibility that $p_{[l]} = p_{[l+1]} = \cdots = p_{[n]} = 0$. Let $\boldsymbol{q} = (q_1, q_2, \ldots, q_n)$ denotes the probability vector that made from $\boldsymbol{p}$ by continuing the above operation until to satisfy $p_{[1]} = p_{[k]}$ or $p_{[l]} = 0$ under the conditions of (193), (196), (197), and (198). Namely, the probability vector $\boldsymbol{q}$ satisfies either

$$q_{[1]} = q_{[2]} = \cdots = q_{[k-1]} = q_{[k]} \geq q_{[k+1]} \geq q_{[k+2]} \geq \cdots \geq q_{[l-1]} > q_{[l]} \geq q_{[l+1]} = q_{[l+2]} = \cdots = q_{[n]} = 0 \tag{264}$$

or

$$q_{[1]} = q_{[2]} = \cdots = q_{[k-1]} \geq q_{[k]} \geq q_{[k+1]} \geq q_{[k+2]} \geq \cdots \geq q_{[l-1]} > q_{[l]} = q_{[l+1]} = q_{[l+2]} = \cdots = q_{[n]} = 0. \tag{265}$$

Note that there is a possibility that both of (264) and (265) hold; that is,

$$q_{[1]} = q_{[2]} = \cdots = q_{[k-1]} = q_{[k]} \geq q_{[k+1]} \geq q_{[k+2]} \geq \cdots \geq q_{[l-1]} > q_{[l]} = q_{[l+1]} = q_{[l+2]} = \cdots = q_{[n]} = 0 \tag{266}$$

holds. Since $\boldsymbol{q}$ is made under the constraint (193), note that

$$H(\boldsymbol{p}) = H(\boldsymbol{q}). \tag{267}$$

Moreover, it follows from (262) that $\|\boldsymbol{p}\|_\alpha$ with a fixed $\alpha \in (0,1)\cup(1,+\infty)$ is also strictly decreased by according to increasing $p_{[k]}$; therefore, we observe that

$$\|\boldsymbol{p}\|_\alpha \geq \|\boldsymbol{q}\|_\alpha \tag{268}$$

for $\alpha \in (0,1)\cup(1,+\infty)$. Repeating these operation until to satisfy $k = l-1$ and $p_{[1]} = p_{[k]} > p_{[l]} \geq p_{[l-1]} = p_{[n]} = 0$, we have that

$$H(\boldsymbol{p}) = H_{\boldsymbol{w}_n}(p), \tag{269}$$

$$\|\boldsymbol{p}\|_\alpha \geq \|\boldsymbol{w}_n(p)\|_\alpha \tag{270}$$

for all $\alpha \in (0,1)\cup(1,+\infty)$ and some $p \in [\frac{1}{n}, 1]$. That completes the proof of Lemma 7. ■

Lemmas 6 and 7 are derived by using Lemma 5. Lemmas 6 and 7 imply that the distributions $\boldsymbol{v}_n(\cdot)$ and $\boldsymbol{w}_n(\cdot)$ have extremal properties in the sense of a relation between the Shannon entropy and the ℓ_α-norm. Then, we can derive tight bounds of ℓ_α-norms with a fixed Shannon entropy as follows:

Theorem 1. *Let* $\bar{\boldsymbol{v}}_n(\boldsymbol{p}) \triangleq \boldsymbol{v}_n(H^{-1}_{\boldsymbol{v}_n}(H(\boldsymbol{p})))$ *and* $\bar{\boldsymbol{w}}_n(\boldsymbol{p}) \triangleq \boldsymbol{w}_n(H^{-1}_{\boldsymbol{w}_n}(H(\boldsymbol{p})))$ *for* $\boldsymbol{p} \in \mathcal{P}_n$*. Then, we observe that*

$$\|\bar{\boldsymbol{w}}_n(\boldsymbol{p})\|_\alpha \leq \|\boldsymbol{p}\|_\alpha \leq \|\bar{\boldsymbol{v}}_n(\boldsymbol{p})\|_\alpha \tag{271}$$

for any $n \geq 2$*, any* $\boldsymbol{p} \in \mathcal{P}_n$*, and any* $\alpha \in (0,\infty)$*.*

Proof of Theorem 1: It follows from Lemmas 6 and 7 that, for any $n \geq 2$ and any $\boldsymbol{p} \in \mathcal{P}_n$, there exist $p \in [0, \frac{1}{n}]$ and $p' \in [\frac{1}{n}, 1]$ such that

$$H_{\boldsymbol{w}_n}(p') = H(\boldsymbol{p}) = H_{\boldsymbol{v}_n}(p), \tag{272}$$

$$\|\boldsymbol{w}_n(p')\|_\alpha \leq \|\boldsymbol{p}\|_\alpha \leq \|\boldsymbol{v}_n(p)\|_\alpha \tag{273}$$

for all $\alpha \in (0,+\infty)$. Then, we now consider $\boldsymbol{q}, \boldsymbol{q}' \in \mathcal{P}_n$ such that

$$H(\boldsymbol{q}') = H_{\boldsymbol{w}_n}(p') = H_{\boldsymbol{v}_n}(p) = H(\boldsymbol{q}), \tag{274}$$

$$\|\boldsymbol{q}'\|_\alpha \leq \|\boldsymbol{w}_n(p')\|_\alpha \leq \|\boldsymbol{v}_n(p)\|_\alpha \leq \|\boldsymbol{q}\|_\alpha \tag{275}$$

for $\alpha \in (0,+\infty)$. It also follows from Lemmas 6 and 7 that there exist $q \in [0, \frac{1}{n}]$ and $q' \in [\frac{1}{n}, 1]$ such that

$$H_{\boldsymbol{w}_n}(q') = H(\boldsymbol{q}') = H(\boldsymbol{q}) = H_{\boldsymbol{v}_n}(q), \tag{276}$$

$$\|\boldsymbol{w}_n(q')\|_\alpha \leq \|\boldsymbol{q}'\|_\alpha \leq \|\boldsymbol{q}\|_\alpha \leq \|\boldsymbol{v}_n(q)\|_\alpha \tag{277}$$

for $\alpha \in (0,+\infty)$. Note from (274) and (276) that

$$H_{\boldsymbol{v}_n}(p) = H_{\boldsymbol{v}_n}(q), \tag{278}$$

$$H_{\boldsymbol{w}_n}(p') = H_{\boldsymbol{w}_n}(q'). \tag{279}$$

Note that it follows from Lemmas 1 and 2 that $H_{\boldsymbol{v}_n}(p)$ and $H_{\boldsymbol{w}_n}(p')$ are both bijective functions of $p \in [0, \frac{1}{n}]$ and $p' \in [\frac{1}{n}, 1]$, respectively. Therefore, we get

$$p = q, \tag{280}$$

$$p' = q', \tag{281}$$

which imply that, for $\boldsymbol{q}$ and $\boldsymbol{q}'$, the following equalities must be held:

$$\|\boldsymbol{v}_n(p)\|_\alpha = \|\boldsymbol{q}\|_\alpha = \|\boldsymbol{v}_n(q)\|_\alpha, \tag{282}$$

$$\|\boldsymbol{w}_n(p')\|_\alpha = \|\boldsymbol{q}'\|_\alpha = \|\boldsymbol{w}_n(q')\|_\alpha. \tag{283}$$

That completes the proof of Theorem 1. ■

Note that the distributions $\bar{\boldsymbol{v}}_n(\boldsymbol{p})$ and $\bar{\boldsymbol{w}}_n(\boldsymbol{p})$ denote $\boldsymbol{v}_n(p)$ and $\boldsymbol{w}_n(q)$, respectively, such that $H_{\boldsymbol{v}_n}(p) = H_{\boldsymbol{w}_n}(q) = H(\boldsymbol{p})$ for a given $\boldsymbol{p} \in \mathcal{P}_n$. Theorem 1 shows that, among all n-ary probability vectors with a fixed Shannon entropy, the distributions $\boldsymbol{v}_n(\cdot)$ and $\boldsymbol{w}_n(\cdot)$ take the maximum and the minimum ℓ_α-norm, respectively. Thus, the bounds (271) of Theorem 1 are tight in the sense of the existences of the distributions $\boldsymbol{v}_n(\cdot)$ and $\boldsymbol{w}_n(\cdot)$ which attain both equalities of the bounds (271). In other words, Theorem 1 implies that the boundaries of $\mathcal{R}_n(\alpha)$, defined in (72), can be attained by $\boldsymbol{v}_n(\cdot)$ and $\boldsymbol{w}_n(\cdot)$. We illustrate the graphs of the boundaries of $\mathcal{R}_n(\alpha)$ in Fig. 1. Note that $\|\bar{\boldsymbol{v}}_2(\boldsymbol{p})\|_\alpha = \|\bar{\boldsymbol{w}}_2(\boldsymbol{p})\|_\alpha$ for any $\boldsymbol{p} \in \mathcal{P}_2$ and any $\alpha \in (0, \infty)$ since $\boldsymbol{v}_2(p) = \boldsymbol{w}_2(1-p)$ for $p \in [0, \frac{1}{2}]$. Therefore, Theorem 1 becomes meaningful for $n \geq 3$.

On the other hand, the following theorem shows that, among all n-ary probability vectors with a fixed ℓ_α-norm, the distributions $\boldsymbol{v}_n(\cdot)$ and $\boldsymbol{w}_n(\cdot)$ also take the extreme values of the Shannon entropy.

Theorem 2. *Let $p \in [0, \frac{1}{n}]$ and $p' \in [\frac{1}{n}, 1]$ be chosen to satisfy*

$$\|\boldsymbol{v}_n(p)\|_\alpha = \|\boldsymbol{p}\|_\alpha = \|\boldsymbol{w}_n(p')\|_\alpha \tag{284}$$

for a fixed $\alpha \in (0,1) \cup (1, \infty)$. Then, we observe that

$$0 < \alpha < 1 \implies H_{\boldsymbol{v}_n}(p) \leq H(\boldsymbol{p}) \leq H_{\boldsymbol{w}_n}(p'), \tag{285}$$

$$\alpha > 1 \implies H_{\boldsymbol{w}_n}(p') \leq H(\boldsymbol{p}) \leq H_{\boldsymbol{v}_n}(p) \tag{286}$$

for any $n \geq 2$ and any $\boldsymbol{p} \in \mathcal{P}_n$.

Proof of Theorem 2: From Theorem 1, for a fixed $n \geq 2$, we consider $\boldsymbol{p} \in \mathcal{P}_n$, $p \in [0, \frac{1}{n}]$, and $p' \in [\frac{1}{n}, 1]$ such that

$$H_{\boldsymbol{w}_n}(p') = H(\boldsymbol{p}) = H_{\boldsymbol{v}_n}(p), \tag{287}$$

$$\|\boldsymbol{w}_n(p')\|_\alpha \leq \|\boldsymbol{p}\|_\alpha \leq \|\boldsymbol{v}_n(p)\|_\alpha \tag{288}$$

for $\alpha \in (0,1) \cup (1, +\infty)$. Note that p and p' are uniquely determined for a given $\boldsymbol{p} \in \mathcal{P}_n$. It follows from Lemmas 1 and 2 that $H_{\boldsymbol{v}_n}(p) \in [0, \ln n]$ and $H_{\boldsymbol{w}_n}(p') \in [0, \ln n]$ are strictly increasing for $p \in [0, \frac{1}{n}]$ and strictly decreasing for $p' \in [\frac{1}{n}, 1]$, respectively. Moreover, it follows from Lemmas 3 and 4 that, if $\alpha \in (0,1)$, then $\|\boldsymbol{v}_n(p)\|_\alpha$ and



(a) The case $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$.

(b) The case $\alpha = 2$.

Fig. 1. Plot of the boundary of $\mathcal{R}_n(\alpha)$ with $n = 6$. The upper- and lower-boundaries correspond to distributions $\boldsymbol{v}_n(\cdot)$ and $\boldsymbol{w}_n(\cdot)$, respectively.

$\|\boldsymbol{w}_n(p')\|_\alpha$ are strictly increasing for $p \in [0, \frac{1}{n}]$ and strictly decreasing for $p' \in [\frac{1}{n}, 1]$, respectively. Therefore, decreasing both $p \in [0, \frac{1}{n}]$ and $p' \in [\frac{1}{n}, 1]$, we can obtain $q \in [0, \frac{1}{n}]$ and $q' \in [\frac{1}{n}, 1]$ such that

$$H_{\boldsymbol{w}_n}(q') \geq H(\boldsymbol{p}) \geq H_{\boldsymbol{v}_n}(q), \tag{289}$$

$$\|\boldsymbol{w}_n(q')\|_\alpha = \|\boldsymbol{p}\|_\alpha = \|\boldsymbol{v}_n(q)\|_\alpha \tag{290}$$

for a fixed $\alpha \in (0, 1)$.

On the other hand, it follows from Lemmas 3 and 4 that, if $\alpha \in (1, +\infty)$, then $\|\boldsymbol{v}_n(p)\|_\alpha$ and $\|\boldsymbol{w}_n(p')\|_\alpha$ are strictly decreasing for $p \in [0, \frac{1}{n}]$ and strictly increasing for $p \in [\frac{1}{n}, 1]$, respectively. Therefore, increasing both $p \in [0, \frac{1}{n}]$ and $p' \in [\frac{1}{n}, 1]$, we can obtain $q \in [0, \frac{1}{n}]$ and $q' \in [\frac{1}{n}, 1]$ such that

$$H_{\boldsymbol{w}_n}(q') \leq H(\boldsymbol{p}) \leq H_{\boldsymbol{v}_n}(q), \tag{291}$$

$$\|\boldsymbol{w}_n(q')\|_\alpha = \|\boldsymbol{p}\|_\alpha = \|\boldsymbol{v}_n(q)\|_\alpha \tag{292}$$

for a fixed $\alpha \in (1, +\infty)$.

Finally, we note that the strict monotonicity of Lemmas 3 and 4 prove the uniquenesses of the values $q \in [0, \frac{1}{n}]$ and $q' \in [\frac{1}{n}, 1]$. In fact, it follows from Lemmas 1, 2, 3, and 4 that, for a fixed $n \geq 2$ and a fixed $\alpha \in (0, 1) \cup (1, +\infty)$, $\|\boldsymbol{v}_n(p)\|_\alpha$ and $\|\boldsymbol{w}_n(p')\|_\alpha$ are both bijective function of $p \in [0, \frac{1}{n}]$ and $p' \in [\frac{1}{n}, 1]$, respectively. That completes the proof of Theorem 2. ■

In Theorem 2, note that the values $p \in [0, \frac{1}{n}]$ and $p' \in [\frac{1}{n}, 1]$ are uniquely determined by the value of $\|\boldsymbol{p}\|_\alpha$. Theorems 1 and 2 show that extremality between the Shannon entropy and the ℓ_α-norm can be attained by the distributions $\boldsymbol{v}_n(\cdot)$ and $\boldsymbol{w}_n(\cdot)$.

Following a same manner with [20, Theorem 2], we extend the bounds of Theorem 1 from the ℓ_α-norm to several information measures, which are related to ℓ_α-norm, as follows:

Corollary 1. *Let $f(\cdot)$ be a strictly monotonic function. Then, we observe that: (i) if $f(\cdot)$ is strictly increasing, then*

$$f(\|\bar{\boldsymbol{w}}_n(\boldsymbol{p})\|_\alpha) \leq f(\|\boldsymbol{p}\|_\alpha) \leq f(\|\bar{\boldsymbol{v}}_n(\boldsymbol{p})\|_\alpha) \tag{293}$$

TABLE I

APPLICATIONS OF COROLLARY 1

Entropies	function $f_t(\cdot)$	monotonicity $(0 < t < 1)$	monotonicity $(t > 1)$
Rényi entropy [9] $H_\alpha(\boldsymbol{p}) = f_\alpha(\|\boldsymbol{p}\|_\alpha)$	$f_t(x) = \frac{t}{1-t}\ln x$	strictly increasing for $x > 0$	strictly decreasing for $x > 0$
Tsallis entropy [10] $S_q(\boldsymbol{p}) = f_q(\|\boldsymbol{p}\|_q)$	$f_t(x) = \frac{1}{1-t}(x^t - 1)$	strictly increasing for $x > 0$	strictly decreasing for $x > 0$
Entropy of type-β [11], [12] $H_\beta(\boldsymbol{p}) = f_\beta(\|\boldsymbol{p}\|_\beta)$	$f_t(x) = \frac{1}{2^{1-t}-1}(x^t - 1)$	strictly increasing for $x > 0$	strictly decreasing for $x > 0$
γ-entropy [13] $H_\gamma(\boldsymbol{p}) = f_\gamma(\|\boldsymbol{p}\|_{1/\gamma})$	$f_t(x) = \frac{1}{1-2^{t-1}}(1 - x)$	strictly decreasing for $x > 0$	strictly increasing for $x > 0$
The R-norm information [14] $H_R(\boldsymbol{p}) = f_R(\|\boldsymbol{p}\|_R)$	$f_t(x) = \frac{t}{t-1}(1 - x)$	strictly increasing for $x > 0$	strictly decreasing for $x > 0$

and (ii) if $f(\cdot)$ is strictly decreasing, then

$$f(\|\bar{\boldsymbol{v}}_n(\boldsymbol{p})\|_\alpha) \le f(\|\boldsymbol{p}\|_\alpha) \le f(\|\bar{\boldsymbol{w}}_n(\boldsymbol{p})\|_\alpha) \tag{294}$$

for any $n \ge 2$, any $\boldsymbol{p} \in \mathcal{P}_n$, and any $\alpha \in (0, \infty)$.

Proof of Corollary 1: Since any strictly increasing function $f(\cdot)$ satisfies $f(x) < f(y)$ for $x < y$, it is easy to see that (293) from (271) of Theorem 1. Similarly, since any strictly decreasing function $f(\cdot)$ satisfies $f(x) > f(y)$ for $x < y$, it is also easy to see that (294) from (271) of Theorem 1. ■

Therefore, we can obtain tight bounds of several information measures, which are determined by ℓ_α-norm, with a fixed Shannon entropy. As an instance, we introduce the application of Corollary 1 to the Rényi entropy as follows: Let $f_\alpha(x) = \frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha}\ln x$. Then, we readily see that $H_\alpha(\boldsymbol{p}) = f_\alpha(\|\boldsymbol{p}\|_\alpha)$. It can be easily seen that $f_\alpha(x)$ is strictly increasing for $x \ge 0$ when $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ and strictly decreasing for $x \ge 0$ when $\alpha \in (1, \infty)$. Hence, it follows from Corollary 1 that

$$0 < \alpha < 1 \implies H_\alpha(\bar{\boldsymbol{w}}_n(\boldsymbol{p})) \le H_\alpha(\boldsymbol{p}) \le H_\alpha(\bar{\boldsymbol{v}}_n(\boldsymbol{p})), \tag{295}$$

$$\alpha > 1 \implies H_\alpha(\bar{\boldsymbol{v}}_n(\boldsymbol{p})) \le H_\alpha(\boldsymbol{p}) \le H_\alpha(\bar{\boldsymbol{w}}_n(\boldsymbol{p})) \tag{296}$$

for any $n \ge 2$ and any $\boldsymbol{p} \in \mathcal{P}_n$. Moreover, if $p \in [0, \frac{1}{n}]$ and $p' \in [\frac{1}{n}, 1]$ are chosen to satisfy $H_\alpha(\boldsymbol{p}) = H_\alpha(\boldsymbol{v}_n(p)) = H_\alpha(\boldsymbol{w}_n(p'))$ for a fixed $\alpha \in (0, 1) \cup (1, \infty)$, then (285) and (286) hold for any $n \ge 2$ and any $\boldsymbol{p} \in \mathcal{P}_n$ from Theorem 2. These bounds between the Shannon entropy and the Rényi entropy imply the boundary of the region $\mathcal{R}_n^{\text{Rényi}}(\alpha) \triangleq \{(H(\boldsymbol{p}), H_\alpha(\boldsymbol{p})) \mid \boldsymbol{p} \in \mathcal{P}_n\}$ for any $n \ge 2$ and any $\alpha \in (0, 1) \cup (1, \infty)$. We illustrate the boundaries of $\mathcal{R}_n^{\text{Rényi}}(\alpha)$ in Fig. 2. Similarly, we can apply Corollary 1 to several entropies as shown in Table I, and we illustrate these exact feasible region in Figs. 2–6.

Remark 1. *Harremoës and Topsøe [8] showed that the exact region of $\Delta_n = \{(H(\boldsymbol{p}), IC(\boldsymbol{p})) \mid \boldsymbol{p} \in \mathcal{P}_n\}$ for $n \ge 3$, where $IC(\boldsymbol{p}) \triangleq \|\boldsymbol{p}\|_2^2$ denotes the index of coincidence. Then, we can see that Corollary 1 contains its result by $f(x) = x^2$.*

B. Applications for uniformly focusing channels

In this subsection, we consider applications of Corollary 1 for a particular class of discrete memoryless channels (DMCs), i.e., uniformly focusing channels [15]. Let the Rényi divergence [9] of order $\alpha \in (0, 1) \cup (1, \infty)$ is denoted



(a) The case $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$.



(b) The case $\alpha = 2$.

Fig. 2. Plots of the boundaries of $\mathcal{R}_n^{\text{Rényi}}(\alpha)$ with $n = 6$. If $0 < \alpha < 1$, then the upper- and lower-boundaries correspond to distributions $\boldsymbol{v}_n(\cdot)$ and $\boldsymbol{w}_n(\cdot)$, respectively. If $\alpha > 1$, then these correspondences are reversed.



(a) The case $q = \frac{1}{2}$.



(b) The case $q = 2$.

Fig. 3. Plots of the boundaries of $\{(H(\boldsymbol{p}), S_q(\boldsymbol{p})) \mid \boldsymbol{p} \in \mathcal{P}_n\}$ with $n = 6$. If $0 < \alpha < 1$, then the upper- and lower-boundaries correspond to distributions $\boldsymbol{v}_n(\cdot)$ and $\boldsymbol{w}_n(\cdot)$, respectively. If $\alpha > 1$, then these correspondences are reversed.



(a) The case $\beta = \frac{1}{2}$.



(b) The case $\beta = 2$.

Fig. 4. Plots of the boundaries of $\{(H(\boldsymbol{p}), H_\beta(\boldsymbol{p})) \mid \boldsymbol{p} \in \mathcal{P}_n\}$ with $n = 6$. If $0 < \alpha < 1$, then the upper- and lower-boundaries correspond to distributions $\boldsymbol{v}_n(\cdot)$ and $\boldsymbol{w}_n(\cdot)$, respectively. If $\alpha > 1$, then these correspondences are reversed.

by

$$D_\alpha(\boldsymbol{p} \parallel \boldsymbol{q}) \triangleq \frac{1}{\alpha - 1} \ln \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i^\alpha q_i^{1-\alpha}, \tag{297}$$



(a) The case $\gamma = \frac{1}{2}$.



(b) The case $\gamma = 2$.

Fig. 5. Plots of the boundaries of $\{(H(\boldsymbol{p}), H_\gamma(\boldsymbol{p})) \mid \boldsymbol{p} \in \mathcal{P}_n\}$ with $n = 6$. If $0 < \alpha < 1$, then the upper- and lower-boundaries correspond to distributions $\boldsymbol{v}_n(\cdot)$ and $\boldsymbol{w}_n(\cdot)$, respectively. If $\alpha > 1$, then these correspondences are reversed.



(a) The case $R = \frac{1}{2}$.



(b) The case $R = 2$.

Fig. 6. Plots of the boundaries of $\{(H(\boldsymbol{p}), H_R(\boldsymbol{p})) \mid \boldsymbol{p} \in \mathcal{P}_n\}$ with $n = 6$. If $0 < \alpha < 1$, then the upper- and lower-boundaries correspond to distributions $\boldsymbol{v}_n(\cdot)$ and $\boldsymbol{w}_n(\cdot)$, respectively. If $\alpha > 1$, then these correspondences are reversed.

for $\boldsymbol{p}, \boldsymbol{q} \in \mathcal{P}_n$. Since $\lim_{\alpha \to 1} D_\alpha(\boldsymbol{p} \,\|\, \boldsymbol{q}) = D(\boldsymbol{p} \,\|\, \boldsymbol{q})$ by L'Hôpital's rule, we write $D_1(\boldsymbol{p} \,\|\, \boldsymbol{q}) \triangleq D(\boldsymbol{p} \,\|\, \boldsymbol{q})$, where

$$D(\boldsymbol{p} \,\|\, \boldsymbol{q}) \triangleq \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i \ln \frac{p_i}{q_i} \tag{298}$$

denotes the relative entropy. Since

$$D_\alpha(\boldsymbol{p} \parallel \boldsymbol{u}_n) = \ln n - H_\alpha(\boldsymbol{p}) \tag{299}$$

for $\alpha \in (0, \infty)$, we can obtain Corollary 2 from (295) and (296).

Corollary 2. *If $0 < \alpha < 1$, then*

$$D_\alpha(\bar{\boldsymbol{v}}_n(\boldsymbol{p}) \parallel \boldsymbol{u}_n) \le D_\alpha(\boldsymbol{p} \parallel \boldsymbol{u}_n) \le D_\alpha(\bar{\boldsymbol{w}}_n(\boldsymbol{p}) \parallel \boldsymbol{u}_n) \tag{300}$$

for any $n \ge 2$ and any $\boldsymbol{p} \in \mathcal{P}_n$. Moreover, if $\alpha > 1$, then

$$D_\alpha(\bar{\boldsymbol{w}}_n(\boldsymbol{p}) \parallel \boldsymbol{u}_n) \le D_\alpha(\boldsymbol{p} \parallel \boldsymbol{u}_n) \le D_\alpha(\bar{\boldsymbol{v}}_n(\boldsymbol{p}) \parallel \boldsymbol{u}_n) \tag{301}$$

for any $n \ge 2$ and any $\boldsymbol{p} \in \mathcal{P}_n$.



(a) The case $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$.

(b) The case $\alpha = 2$.

Fig. 7. Plots of the boundaries of $\{(D(\boldsymbol{p} \,\|\, \boldsymbol{u}_n), D_\alpha(\boldsymbol{p} \,\|\, \boldsymbol{u}_n)) \mid \boldsymbol{p} \in \mathcal{P}_n\}$ with $n = 6$. If $0 < \alpha < 1$, then the upper- and lower-boundaries correspond to distributions $\boldsymbol{w}_n(\cdot)$ and $\boldsymbol{v}_n(\cdot)$, respectively. If $\alpha > 1$, then these correspondences are reversed.

Since $D(\boldsymbol{p} \,\|\, \boldsymbol{u}_n) = \ln n - H(\boldsymbol{p})$, we note that Corollary 2 shows the tight bounds of Rényi divergence from a uniform distribution with a fixed relative entropy from a uniform distribution. Namely, Corollary 2 implies the boundary of

$$\{(D(\boldsymbol{p} \,\|\, \boldsymbol{u}_n), D_\alpha(\boldsymbol{p} \,\|\, \boldsymbol{u}_n)) \mid \boldsymbol{p} \in \mathcal{P}_n\} \tag{302}$$

for any $n \geq 2$ and any $\alpha \in (0, 1) \cup (1, \infty)$. We illustrate boundaries of its region in Fig. 7.

We now define DMCs as follows: Let the discrete random variables $X \in \mathcal{X}$ and $Y \in \mathcal{Y}$ denote the input and output of a DMC, respectively, where $\mathcal{X}$ and $\mathcal{Y}$ denote the finite input and output alphabets, respectively. Let $P_{Y|X}(y \mid x)$ denote the transition probability of a DMC (X, Y) for $(x, y) \in \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y}$. Then, we define the following three classes of DMCs.

Definition 1. *A channel (X, Y) is said to be* uniformly dispersive [15] *or* uniform from the input [16] *if there exists a permutation $\pi_x : \mathcal{Y} \to \mathcal{Y}$ for each $x \in \mathcal{X}$ such that $P_{Y|X}(x \mid \pi_x(y)) = P_{Y|X}(x' \mid \pi_{x'}(y))$ for all $(x, x', y) \in \mathcal{X}^2 \times \mathcal{Y}$.*

Definition 2. *A channel (X, Y) is said to be* uniformly focusing [15] *or* uniform from the output [16] *if there exists a permutation $\pi_y : \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{X}$ for each $y \in \mathcal{Y}$ such that $P_{Y|X}(\pi_y(x) \mid y) = P_{Y|X}(\pi_{y'}(x) \mid y')$ for all $(x, y, y') \in \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y}^2$.*

Definition 3. *A channel is said to be* strongly symmetric [15] *or* doubly uniform [16] *if it is both uniformly dispersive and uniformly focusing.*

For a uniformly dispersive channel (X, Y), it is known that

$$H(Y \mid X) = H(Y \mid X = x) \tag{303}$$

for any $x \in \mathcal{X}$ (see [16, Eq. (5.18)] or [15, Lemma 4.1]), where the conditional Shannon entropy [1] of $(X,Y) \sim P_{X|Y}P_Y$ is defined by

$$H(X \mid Y) \triangleq \mathbb{E}[H(P_{X|Y}(\cdot \mid Y))] \tag{304}$$

and $\mathbb{E}[\cdot]$ denotes the expected value of the random variable. Moreover, let the conditional Rényi entropy [17] of order $\alpha \in (0,1) \cup (1,\infty)$ be denoted by

$$H_\alpha(X \mid Y) \triangleq \frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha} \ln \mathbb{E}[\|P_{X|Y}(\cdot \mid Y)\|_\alpha] \tag{305}$$

for $(X,Y) \sim P_{X|Y}P_Y$. By convention, we write $H_1(X \mid Y) \triangleq H(X \mid Y)$. As with uniformly focusing channels, for uniformly focusing channels, we can provide the following lemma.

Lemma 8. *If a channel (X,Y) is uniformly focusing and the input X follows a uniform distribution, then*

$$H_\alpha(X \mid Y) = H_\alpha(X \mid Y = y) \tag{306}$$

for any $y \in \mathcal{Y}$ and any $\alpha \in (0,\infty)$.

Proof of Lemma 8: Consider a uniformly focusing channel (X,Y). Assume that the input X follows a uniform distribution, i.e., $P_X(x) = \frac{1}{|\mathcal{X}|}$ for all $x \in \mathcal{X}$. Note from [16, p. 127] or [15, Vol. I, Lemma 4.2] that, if the input X follows a uniform distribution, then the output Y also follows a uniform distribution, i.e., $P_Y(y) = \frac{1}{|\mathcal{Y}|}$ for all $y \in \mathcal{Y}$. Then, since the a posteriori probability of (X,Y) is written as

$$P_{X|Y}(x \mid y) = \frac{P_X(x)P_{Y|X}(y \mid x)}{P_Y(y)} \tag{307}$$

for $(x,y) \in \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y}$ by Bayes' rule and the fraction $\frac{P_X(x)}{P_Y(y)}$ is constant for $(x,y) \in \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y}$, it follows from Definition 2 that there exists a permutation $\pi_y : \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{X}$ for each $y \in \mathcal{Y}$ such that

$$P_{X|Y}(\pi_y(x) \mid y) = P_{X|Y}(\pi_{y'}(x) \mid y') \tag{308}$$

for all $(x,y,y') \in \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y}^2$. Hence, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
H(X \mid Y) &= \sum_{y \in \mathcal{Y}} P_Y(y) H(X \mid Y = y) && (309)\\
&= \sum_{y \in \mathcal{Y}} P_Y(y) \left(- \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} P_{X|Y}(x \mid y) \ln P_{X|Y}(x \mid y) \right) && (310)\\
&= \sum_{y \in \mathcal{Y}} P_Y(y) \left(- \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} P_{X|Y}(\pi_y(x) \mid y) \ln P_{X|Y}(\pi_y(x) \mid y) \right) && (311)\\
&\overset{(308)}{=} \left(\sum_{y \in \mathcal{Y}} P_Y(y) \right) \left(- \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} P_{X|Y}(\pi_{y'}(x) \mid y') \ln P_{X|Y}(\pi_{y'}(x) \mid y') \right) && (312)\\
&= - \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} P_{X|Y}(\pi_{y'}(x) \mid y') \ln P_{X|Y}(\pi_{y'}(x) \mid y') && (313)\\
&= - \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} P_{X|Y}(x \mid y') \ln P_{X|Y}(x \mid y') && (314)\\
&= H(X \mid Y = y') && (315)
\end{aligned}$$

for any $y' \in \mathcal{Y}$. Similarly, we also get

$$\mathbb{E}[\|P_{X|Y}(\cdot \mid Y)\|_\alpha] = \sum_{y\in\mathcal{Y}} P_Y(y)\|P_{X|Y}(\cdot \mid y)\|_\alpha \tag{316}$$

$$= \sum_{y\in\mathcal{Y}} P_Y(y) \left(\sum_{x\in\mathcal{X}} P_{X|Y}(x \mid y)^\alpha\right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \tag{317}$$

$$= \sum_{y\in\mathcal{Y}} P_Y(y) \left(\sum_{x\in\mathcal{X}} P_{X|Y}(\pi_y(x) \mid y)^\alpha\right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \tag{318}$$

$$\overset{(308)}{=} \left(\sum_{y\in\mathcal{Y}} P_Y(y)\right) \left(\sum_{x\in\mathcal{X}} P_{X|Y}(\pi_{y'}(x) \mid y')^\alpha\right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \tag{319}$$

$$= \left(\sum_{x\in\mathcal{X}} P_{X|Y}(\pi_{y'}(x) \mid y')^\alpha\right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \tag{320}$$

$$= \left(\sum_{x\in\mathcal{X}} P_{X|Y}(x \mid y')^\alpha\right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \tag{321}$$

$$= \|P_{X|Y}(\cdot \mid y')\|_\alpha \tag{322}$$

for any $x \in \mathcal{X}$ and any $\alpha \in (0,\infty)$. Since $H_\alpha(X \mid Y) \triangleq \frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha} \ln \mathbb{E}[\|P_{X|Y}(\cdot \mid Y)\|_\alpha]$ for $\alpha \in (0,1)\cup(1,\infty)$ and $H_1(X \mid Y) = H(X \mid Y)$, Eqs. (315) and (322) imply Lemma 8. ■

Therefore, it follows from Lemma 8 that the results of Corollary 1 can be applied to uniformly focusing channels (X,Y) if the input X follows a uniform distribution, as with (295) and (296). For a channel (X,Y), let the mutual information of order $\alpha \in (0,\infty)$ [17] between X and Y be denoted by

$$I_\alpha(X;Y) \triangleq H_\alpha(X) - H_\alpha(X \mid Y) \tag{323}$$

for $\alpha \in (0,\infty)$. Note that $I_1(X;Y) \triangleq I(X;Y)$ denotes the (ordinary) mutual information between X and Y. In this paragraph, we assume that a channel (X,Y) is uniformly focusing and the input X follows a uniform distribution. Since $H_\alpha(\boldsymbol{u}_n) = \ln n$ for $\alpha \in (0,\infty)$, it follows from Lemma 8 that

$$I_\alpha(X;Y) = \ln|\mathcal{X}| - H_\alpha(X \mid Y = y) \tag{324}$$

$$\overset{(299)}{=} D_\alpha(P_{X|Y}(\cdot \mid y) \parallel \boldsymbol{u}_{|\mathcal{X}|}) \tag{325}$$

for any $y \in \mathcal{Y}$ and any $\alpha \in (0,\infty)$, where $|\cdot|$ denotes the cardinality of the finite set. Therefore, it follows that the tight bounds of $I_\alpha(X;Y)$ with a fixed $I(X;Y)$ are equivalent to the bounds of Corollary 2 under the hypotheses.

Furthermore, we consider Gallager's E_0 function [18] of a channel (X,Y), defined by

$$E_0(\rho,X,Y) = E_0(\rho,P_X,P_{Y|X}) \tag{326}$$

$$\triangleq -\ln \sum_{y\in\mathcal{Y}} \left(\sum_{x\in\mathcal{X}} P_X(x) P_{Y|X}(y \mid x)^{\frac{1}{1+\rho}}\right)^{1+\rho} \tag{327}$$

for $\rho \in (-1,\infty)$. Then, we can obtain the following theorem.

Theorem 3. *For a uniformly focusing channel (X,Y), let*

$$E_0^{(\boldsymbol{v}_n)}(\rho,X,Y) \triangleq \rho\, D_{\frac{1}{1+\rho}}(\hat{\boldsymbol{v}}_n(X \mid Y) \parallel \boldsymbol{u}_n), \tag{328}$$

$$E_0^{(\boldsymbol{w}_n)}(\rho,X,Y) \triangleq \rho\, D_{\frac{1}{1+\rho}}(\hat{\boldsymbol{w}}_n(X \mid Y) \parallel \boldsymbol{u}_n), \tag{329}$$

where $\hat{\boldsymbol{v}}_n(X \mid Y) \triangleq \boldsymbol{v}_n(H_{\boldsymbol{v}_n}^{-1}(H(X \mid Y)))$, $\hat{\boldsymbol{w}}_n(X \mid Y) \triangleq \boldsymbol{w}_n(H_{\boldsymbol{w}_n}^{-1}(H(X \mid Y)))$, and $n = |\mathcal{X}|$. If the input X follows a uniform distribution, then we observe that

$$E_0^{(\boldsymbol{v}_n)}(\rho,X,Y) \le E_0(\rho,X,Y) \le E_0^{(\boldsymbol{w}_n)}(\rho,X,Y) \tag{330}$$

for any $\rho \in (-1,\infty)$.

Proof of Theorem 3: We can see from [17, Eq. (16)] that

$$\frac{E_0(\rho,P_{X^\alpha},P_{Y|X})}{\rho} = I_{\frac{1}{1+\rho}}(X;Y), \tag{331}$$

where

$$P_{X^\alpha}(x) \triangleq \frac{P_X(x)^\alpha}{\sum_{x'\in\mathcal{X}} P_X(x')^\alpha} \tag{332}$$

denotes the tilted distribution. We can see from (331) that the E_0 function is closely related to the mutual information of order α. Note that, if the distribution P_X is a uniform distribution, then its tilted distribution P_{X^α} is also a uniform distribution for any $\alpha \in (0,\infty)$. Thus, if a channel (X,Y) is uniformly focusing and the input X follows a uniform distribution, then it follows from (325) and (331) that

$$E_0(\rho,X,Y) = \rho\, D_{\frac{1}{1+\rho}}(P_{X|Y}(\cdot \mid y) \parallel \boldsymbol{u}_{|\mathcal{X}|}) \tag{333}$$

for any $\rho \in (-1,\infty)$ and any $y \in \mathcal{Y}$. Hence, noting the relations

$$-1 < \rho < 0 \iff 1 < \alpha < \infty, \tag{334}$$

$$0 < \rho < \infty \iff 0 < \alpha < 1, \tag{335}$$

the E_0 function can also be evaluate as with Corollary 2. ■

Note that the distributions $\hat{\boldsymbol{v}}_n(X \mid Y)$ and $\hat{\boldsymbol{w}}_n(X \mid Y)$ denote $\boldsymbol{v}_n(p)$ and $\boldsymbol{w}_n(q)$, respectively, such that $H_{\boldsymbol{v}_n}(p) = H_{\boldsymbol{w}_n}(q) = H(X \mid Y)$ for a given channel (X,Y). Since $I(X;Y) = \ln|\mathcal{X}| - H(X \mid Y)$ under a uniform input distribution, Theorem 3 shows bounds of the E_0 function with a fixed mutual information. Note that, since (328) and (329) are defined by the $\boldsymbol{v}_n(\cdot)$ and $\boldsymbol{w}_n(\cdot)$, respectively, there exist two strongly symmetric channels which attain each equality of the bounds (330). Namely, Theorem 3 provides tight bounds (330). We illustrate graphical representations of Theorem 3 in Fig. 8, as with Figs. 1 and 2. Theorem 3 is a generalization of [22, Theorem 2] from ternary-input strongly symmetric channels to n-ary input uniformly focusing channels under a uniform input distribution.



(a) The case $\rho = -\frac{1}{2}$.

(b) The case $\rho = 1$ (cutoff rate).

Fig. 8. Plots of the bounds between $I(X;Y)$ and $E_0(\rho,X,Y)$ for all uniformly focusing channels (X,Y) with $|\mathcal{X}| = 6$ and a uniform input distribution P_X. The upper and lower bounds of $E_0(\rho,X,Y)$ with a fixed $I(X;Y)$ correspond to $E_0^{(\boldsymbol{w}_n)}(\rho,X,Y)$ and $E_0^{(\boldsymbol{v}_n)}(\rho,X,Y)$, respectively.

Finally, we consider the hypothesis of a uniform input distribution. If a channel (X,Y) is symmetric[3], then the mutual information of order α is maximized by a uniform input distribution[4] for $\alpha \in (0,\infty)$. Therefore, since a strongly symmetric channel is symmetric, the hypothesis is optimal if the channel (X,Y) is strongly symmetric.

IV. Conclusion

In this study, we established the tight bounds of the ℓ_α-norm with a fixed Shannon entropy in Theorem 1, and vise versa in Theorem 2. Previously, the tight bounds of the Shannon entropy with a fixed error probability were derived [2]–[6], [21]. Since the error probability is closely related to the ℓ_∞-norm, this study is a generalization of previous studies [2]–[6], [21]. Note that the set of all n-ary probability vectors, which are sorted in decreasing order, with a fixed ℓ_α-norm is convex set. The previous works [2]–[6], [21] used the concavity of the Shannon entropy in probability vectors to examine the Shannon entropy with a fixed ℓ_α-norm. However, since $\|\boldsymbol{p}\|_\alpha$ is strictly concave in $\boldsymbol{p} \in \mathcal{P}_n$ when $\alpha \in (0,1)$ and is strictly convex in $\boldsymbol{p} \in \mathcal{P}_n$ when $\alpha \in (1,\infty)$, the concavity of the Shannon entropy in probability vectors turns out to be hard-to-use when the ℓ_α-norm is fixed. In this study, we derived Theorems 1 and 2 by using elementary calculus without using the concavity of the Shannon entropy.

Acknowledgment

This study was partially supported by the Ministry of Education, Science, Sports and Culture, Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (C) 26420352.

References

[1] C. E. Shannon, "A mathematical theory of communication," *Bell Syst. Tech. J.*, vol. 27, pp. 379–423 and 623–656, July and Oct. 1948.

[2] R. M. Fano, "Class notes for Transmission of Information," Course 6.574, MIT, Cambridge, MA, 1952.

[3] V. A. Kovalevsky, "The problem of character recognition from the point of view of mathematical statistics," *Character Readers and Pattern Recognition.* New York: Spartan, pp. 3–30, 1968. (Russian edition in 1965).

[3] Symmetric channels are defined in [18, p. 94].

[4] This fact can be verified by using, e.g., [23, Theorem 7.2].

[4] D. L. Tebbe and S. J. Dwyer III, "Uncertainty and probability of error," *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 14, no. 3, pp. 516–518, May 1968.

[5] M. Feder and N. Merhav, "Relations between entropy and error probability," *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 40, no. 1, pp. 259–266, Jan. 1994.

[6] S.-W. Ho and S. Verdú, "On the interplay between conditional entropy and error probability," *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory* vol. 56, no. 12, pp. 5930–5942, Dec. 2010.

[7] A. W. Marshall and I. Olkin, *Inequalities: Theory of Majorization and Its Applications.* New York: Academic, 1979.

[8] P. Harremoës and F. Topsøe, "Inequalities between entropy and index of coincidence derived from information diagrams," *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 47, no. 7, pp. 2944–2960, Nov. 2001.

[9] A. Rényi, "On measures of information and entropy," *Proc. 4th Berkeley Symp. Math. Statist. Prob.*, Berkeley, Calif., vol. 1, Univ. of Calif. Press, pp. 547–561, 1961.

[10] C. Tsallis, "Possible generalization of Boltzmann-Gibbs statistics," *J. Statist. Phys.*, vol. 52, no. 1–2, pp. 479–487, 1988.

[11] J. Havrda and F. Charvát, "Quantification method of classification processes. Concept of structural a-entropy," *Kybernetika*, vol. 3, no. 1, pp. 30–35, 1967.

[12] Z. Daróczy, "Generalized information functions," *Inf. Control*, vol. 16, no. 1, pp. 36–51, Mar. 1970.

[13] M. Behara and J. S. Chawla, "Generalized γ-entropy," *Entropy and Ergodic Theory: Selecta Statistica Canadiana.* vol. 2, pp. 15–38, 1974.

[14] D. E. Boekee and J. C. A. Van der Lubbe, "The R-norm information measure," *Inf. Control*, vol. 45, no. 2, pp. 136–155, May 1980.

[15] J. L. Massey, *Applied digital information theory I and II.* Lecture notes, Signal and Information Processing Laboratory, ETH Zurich, 1995–1996. [Online]. Available at http://www.isiweb.ee.ethz.ch/archive/massey_scr/.

[16] R. M. Fano, *Transmission of Information: A Statistical Theory of Communications.* New York: M.I.T. Press, 1961.

[17] S. Arimoto, "Information measures and capacity of order α for discrete memoryless channels," in *Topics in Information Theory, 2nd Colloq. Math. Soc. J. Bolyai*, Keszthely, Hungary, vol. 16, pp. 41–52, 1977.

[18] R. G. Gallager, *Information Theory and Reliable Communication.* New York: Wiley, 1968.

[19] C. Tsallis, "What are the numbers that experiments provide?" *Química Nova*, vol. 17, no. 6, pp. 468–471, 1994.

[20] A. Guillén i Fàbregas, I. Land, and A. Martinez, "Extremes of error exponents," *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 59, no. 4, pp. 2201–2207, Apr. 2013.

[21] M. Ben-Bassat, "f-entropies, probability of error, and feature selection," *Inf. Control*, vol. 39, no. 3, pp. 227–242, Dec. 1978.

[22] Y. Sakai and K. Iwata, "Feasible regions of symmetric capacity and Gallager's E_0 function for ternary-input discrete memoryless channels," *Proc. IEEE Int. Symp. Inf. Theory* (ISIT'2015), Hong Kong, pp. 81–85, June 2015.

[23] F. Jelinek *Probabilistic Information Theory: Discrete and Memoryless Models.* New York: McGraw-Hill, 1968.

[24] Y. Sakai and K. Iwata, "Relations between conditional Shannon entropy and expectation of ℓ_α-norm," submitted to *IEEE Int. Symp. Inf. Theory*, (ISIT'2016) Barcelona, Spain, July 2016.